```
0001
 1
              BEFORE THE MAUI REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 2
                          COUNTY OF MAUI
 3
                         STATE OF HAWAII
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10
                        REGULAR MEETING
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
                 Held at the Planning Department Conference
19
    Room, Kalana Pakui Building, Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii,
20
    commencing at 1:02 p.m. on Friday, May 25, 2007.
21
22
23
2.4
   REPORTED BY: KATHERINE EISMANN, CRR/RDR/CSR #439
25
                           ATTENDANCE
0002
1
    COMMISSION PRESENT:
 2
 3
                   LLOYD POELMAN, CHAIRPERSON
 4
                   RONALD KAWAHARA VICE-CHAIRPERSON
 5
                   ALEXA BETTS BASINGER
 6
 7
   STAFF PRESENT:
 8
                   JOHN D. KIM, DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL
 9
                   WAYNE STEEL, MANAGER
10
11
   ALSO PRESENT:
12
                  JOCELYN PERREIRA, WAILUKU MAIN STREET
13 ASSOCIATION; TRI-ISLE RESOURCE CENTER
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0003
                (Friday, May 25, 2007, 1:02 p.m.)
1
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: We'll call the Maui
 3
   Redevelopment Agency meeting of May 25, 2007, to order
```

and entertain a motion for approval of the April 20th, 6 2007, minutes. 7 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Move to approve. 8 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: A motion has been made 9 to approve the April 20, 2007, minutes. 10 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Second. 11 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: And a second. All in 12 favor. 13 (Chorus of ayes.) 14 The minutes are approved. 15 We will now open for public testimony in 16 regard to any item on the agenda, and then if someone 17 wants to wait until that particular item is called, they 18 can. We will take time with each particular agenda 19 item. 20 Is there anyone in the audience that wanted 21 to testify in regard to any agenda item or anything else 22 without going in order? 23 MR. KIMURA: Just wanted to -- Robert 24 Kimura. Just wanted to commend this organization MRA to 25 see it in the newspaper. And that sparked me in a way 0004 1 that the public will have a chance to get to know who, 2 what, and when MRA is, so that was a good idea relative 3 to the idea that I have in mind. Yeah. 4 Then I was feeling, I'm not a pusher, but 5 I'm a concerned person who is not a member, but just 6 concerned about what the objective of MRA is. And then 7 I found out that your time is much lost because of the 8 Sunshine Law. 9 So, I recommend, to beat that -- I know that 10 you all have the foremost project of the multilevel 11 parking structure in your mind as number one. That's 12 what I figure, and that has been about 11 to 14 years already on that, somewhere in there. 13 14 We got all the information that we need at 15 Maui -- WMSA. They have been the steady association or 16 group that has been with the project from beginning to 17 end. And I would say at this point in time, I myself 18 being around in here as a Maui resident for a long 19 period of time, can say without bragging or pushing, 20 that the continuity of the information is with Wailuku 21 Main Street Association as an organization that has been 22 following that project from the beginning to now. 23 So, I would say that the answers are all 2.4 there, yes. Away from this charade, they got all the 25 architects together and to the point of a structure 0005 1 until the political situation came into the picture. 2 And I notice, in my experiences in working with the 3 concerns --4 MR. STEEL: Thirty seconds, Robert. 5 MR. KIMURA: Okay. I will wrap it up. 6 know, but I just want to let you know what goes through 7 my mind. And if there's anything that I have -- I have 8 stated to be of importance, yeah, use it. Like the Sunshine Law is too strict, in simple words. You got to

10 have more executive meetings. 11 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Fine. Thank you, 12 Robert. 13 MR. KIMURA: Okay. You got the point? 14 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah. 15 MR. KIMURA: Just nod your head. I would 16 appreciate it. 17 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Are there any 18 questions from any of the members? Thank you. Anyone 19 else wishing to testify under the general public 20 testimony? 21 MS. EDMONDS: Hello, Committee. I'm Teri 22 Edmonds, from the Wailuku Community Association, 23 speaking as president. I am now the president again for 24 this fiscal year, so I'll be seeing you guys a lot. 25 We just had a meeting with our general -- a 0006 1 general meeting with our committee, and -- let me get my 2 breath here. We are focusing this year on 3 beautification. That's our plan, beautification, beautification. 5 We are going to follow in the steps of you 6 Thank you so much for cleaning up Wailuku. can't tell you how much we appreciate it, even the 7 8 nonmembers. I canvassed the entire neighborhood, 9 passing out the flyers, and people are really excited. 10 They are feeling a little more inspiration, so I really 11 appreciate it. 12 And I'm clearly letting them know that you 13 guys are doing this. We are going to follow up after you are done at the end of the month, and we are going 14 15 to plant plants. We have got volunteers. MEO is going 16 to step up and help us out with labor as well, and we are going to head down to the end of Happy Valley. 17 18 And that leads me to my next item, which is 19 Happy Valley. I want to make sure that they are not 20 forgotten, so I'm going to remember them. We have a 21 strong -- I really impressed on some of the residents 22 there that they need to get involved if they want to 23 make sure that Happy Valley gets included. 24 And they do want it included, and they are 25 just -- that's definitely slum and blight down there. 0007 1 And I believe the State needs to step up and take part 2 in the housing project. I know one of the Council 3 members wants to pursue that. I would like to work on a 4 committee with him, which he has asked, so that is our 5 big plan. 6 So, I'd like it to look beautiful. I know 7 that the money has been returned that we were unable to 8 execute this year, and we would like to make a positive 9 influence this year and really be much stronger, so that 10 when we do go to ask for money again, we can actually 11 execute it. 12 I do appreciate the opportunity to have done 13 that though. And I hope I'm not missing anything, but we are really here to play a key role with you this

14

15 year. So, if there's anything we can do to help, that 16 would be great. 17 My other issue is after talking to my 18 members, parking is really an issue. I am excited to 19 see the parking -- the minipark get developed just out 20 of shear beautification. However, I would like to say 21 that if -- if we do not address parking issues, it's 2.2 going to get ugly. 23 So, I know we can. One suggestion I had, 24 don't -- just throwing it out there, is maybe 25 converting -- in the County parking lot, if we are not 8000 1 going to do a big structure right now, maybe converting a portion of those stalls to four-hour stalls, and I 3 think that would really help appease the merchant side 4 of this. 5 So, I think that would keep traffic turning, 6 and with Taguma here, we will definitely have parking 7 spots. So, that's my suggestion, and I would like to 8 again work closely on parking issues. So, do you have 9 any questions for me? 10 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Yes, Teri, 11 congratulation. 12 MS. EDMONDS: Thank you. BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: How close is the 13 14 Wailuku Community Association to receiving its 501(c)3 15 16 MS. EDMONDS: Very close. We have been 17 recognized now by the IRS, and we filed it on an old 18 form, I guess. We just missed it, so that's all we have 19 to do. But they have recognized us now, so we are 20 really close. 21 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Excellent. 22 MS. EDMONDS: I will say though the only 23 project I want to pick up this year is helping Uki Lei with the Christmas festival and the beautification. 2.4 25 that beautification, we are going to have volunteers. 0009 1 One of our projects is we have gotten the okay from 2 Susanne Hota to paint her building. So, we are going to paint it plantation green. Community Workday is donating the paint, and MEO is going to help us paint it 5 along with Quality Painting. So that -- those are 6 our -- kind of our three big projects. 7 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Also, did WCA have 8 any influence with the Aluli Trust for their brand new 9 painted building? 10 MS. EDMONDS: Oh, my gosh. Love it. I love 11 it. 12 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: It's lovely. 13 MS. EDMONDS: I love it. And they did 14 communicate that they are going to continue moving down. 15 It may not happen really quickly, but they are going to 16 continue that, and we are very, very excited. It looks 17 so great. 18 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: It does. 19 MS. EDMONDS: Thank you. And

```
20
     congratulations yourself, Alexa.
21
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Thank you.
22
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Any other questions?
23
                 MS. EDMONDS: Thank you.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: If you would just stay
24
25
     at the podium for a minute. I have a question of the
0010
1
    manager, which is as far as the jurisdiction of the
 2
     County parking lot and setting the time limits, do you
 3
     know who has authority or control over that?
 4
                 MR. STEEL: Actually, it looks like we do.
 5
     And the reason I say that is because in the Wailuku
 6
     Redevelopment Area Plan, one of the items is for us to
     determine a parking -- I forget the exact wording of it,
 7
 8
    but I believe it's us. And I will find the exact
9
     section.
10
                 I believe that we have the jurisdiction to
11
     implement whatever usage that we choose, and that --
12
     that's something that -- because of Teri's testimony, I
13
    have thought about that. I think that we might want to
14
     visit that issue and determine how we'd like to address
15
     it. Certainly we have the option of 208 stalls to
16
     manipulate them pretty much as we -- as we choose.
17
                MS. EDMONDS:
                              I --
18
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: There --
19
                 MS. EDMONDS: I'm sorry.
20
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: There had been
21
    previous discussions at -- by this body of doing just
22
     that, minimizing some of the long-term stalls.
23
                 MS. EDMONDS: If I could also put in a -- I
24
     just remembered to mention that speaking with some of
25
     the merchants, some of the most vocal merchants, they
0011
1
    are willing to help subsidize the validation, parking
 2
     validation, if you thought you might want to put one of
 3
     those automatic arms, and maybe we could start
 4
     generating some revenue in that ground lot. Anyway,
     it's just an idea. I would also be willing to pay for
 5
 6
     that. So, thank you for your time.
 7
                CHAIRPERSON POELMAN:
                                      Thank you. When we
 8
     get to the part of the agenda where we are going to set
 9
     the agenda for the next month, I'd like to possibly put
10
     that on next month's agenda.
11
                 Any other general public testimony on any
12
     item out of order?
13
                MS. PERREIRA: Thank you. I was not going
14
     to comment, except -- because Teri mentioned about the
15
    parking lot and the stalls.
16
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Could you state your
17
     name, please.
18
                 MS. PERREIRA: Jocelyn Perreira -- sorry --
19
     Wailuku Main Street Association. I just was a little
20
     surprised to find out that Maui Redevelopment Agency can
21
     just, at will, change the parking stalls. That may very
22
     well be true. I have to look at the Wailuku
23
    Redevelopment Plan.
2.4
                 I do know that the current law that exists
```

25 relative to the municipal parking lot had to be done by 0012 1 ordinance and had to go before the County Council. So, 2 I just bring that up for your consideration, so that you 3 can check that out as well. 4 Because the current law that does exist in 5 the municipal lot now was done at the behest of the 6 merchants at the time to have longer parking, so they 7 wouldn't have as many tickets, and they didn't have to 8 keep getting in and out of their cars throughout the 9 day. 10 And that resulted from, I believe, Howard 11 Kihune was chairman of the Council at the time, and they 12 did take that on, and that is an ordinance. Thank you. 13 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Thank you. Any other 14 general public testimony? 15 I note on the sign-up sheet that other 16 people wanting to testify are wanting to testify in 17 regard to agenda item F. So, I'm going to move to 18 agenda item F. Is that all right? I want to go here 19 for these people. 20 MR. STEEL: All right. 21 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Agenda item F is 22 report on Council testimony regarding the resolution 2.3 concerning the MRA fiscal year '08 budget submission. MR. STEEL: This is, I guess, in 2.4 25 relationship -- I think there are a number of people who 0013 1 wanted to testify regarding a proposed feasibility study 2 that Darla Palmer submitted to this agency, which I made 3 available to the commissioners and is also on the table. 4 There also is the Economic Development 5 Coordinator will be here in about 15 minutes, also in 6 regarding this item, so we might want to wait until she 7 comes. 8 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. We will hold 9 off until she comes then. We will go to the next item 10 on the agenda, which is annual review of Sunshine Law to 11 be presented by Corporation Counsel. 12 MS. PERREIRA: Request, Mr. Chair? 13 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Excuse me? MS. PERREIRA: Request, Mr. Chair? 14 15 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: There's a request from 16 the audience, which is? 17 MS. PERREIRA: I know that there are some 18 people have their grandchildren or the children's 19 graduations, and if this item can be moved to the back 20 of the agenda, it would be much appreciated. CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, well, I was 21 22 attempting to go to F, which is why I think those people 23 were -- do the people who are here to testify for F want to wait? We can handle the agenda as a whole if we wait 24 25 about 15 minutes. 0014 1 MS. PALMER: It would be great if Deidre was 2 here since she was part of the conversation. 3 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, we will wait

```
until -- what's her name?
 5
                 MR. STEEL: Deidre Tegarden.
 6
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Deidre Tegarden from
 7
     Office of Economic Development is here, then we will go
8
     to item F. So, we will keep going down the agenda till
9
     then.
10
                 D, annual review of the Sunshine Law by
     Corporation Counsel.
11
12
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: How many of you
13
    had training in the open meetings laws? Everyone? Have
14
    you had?
15
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I -- every year on the
16
     Sunshine Law?
17
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Yeah.
18
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, I have, but were
19
     you here when --
20
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Yeah.
21
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: And Ron Kawahara has,
22
    but Alexa Betts Basinger hasn't.
23
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Not as a Board
24
    member.
25
                DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Okay. Well,
0015
1
     the Sunshine --
 2.
                CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Before you go there, I
 3
     do have one question maybe for our manager, which is we
 4
     do have a vacancy. And do you know the status of
     getting that vacancy filled is? Just if we get somebody
 5
 6
     in here next month, we might want to hold off on this
 7
     presentation till next month.
                 MR. STEEL: Yes, the status is that likely
9
     the person will not be in by next month. In the process
10
     that it takes, the person's name has actually not been
11
     submitted to Council yet, and that is going to take a
12
     while. So, it probably would be -- I mean, unless you
     are willing to put it off for a couple months, now would
13
14
    be -- you know, that would be up to you.
15
                CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. What I propose
16
     is since Alexa Betts Basinger is the new member who
17
    hasn't had an opportunity for the training while she's
18
    been a member, she has indicated that she has heard the
19
     training in the audience.
20
                 So, what I propose is that we might hold off
21
     on this item until we have the new member, even if it's
22
     a couple of months away, so it doesn't have to be
23
    repeated again in two months. So --
24
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: That's fine
25
    with me.
0016
1
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. Item E on the
 2
     agenda is creation of a resolution and vote to adopt a
 3
     resolution concerning the MRA's position on the
 4
    municipal parking lot redevelopment, a recommended
 5
    design, and recommended next steps.
                 MR. STEEL: This was an item that's
 7
     continued from past meetings. One of the -- if there is
    no resolution that we'd like to bring forward at this
```

9 time, we could skip this one.

I know that a number -- there was a committee that was going to testify before Council, and that might be something -- this might be a time for them to do that, talk about that. But unless there is a resolution, we can move on.

As far as recommended next steps, this is a planning function of this agency as to, you know, I open that up for discussion each meeting. If we have next steps, I can tell you something that the Mayor has asked me to do in one of the most recent meetings, which I sent you an e-mail about, suggesting that I put together an RFP that includes the municipal parking lot among other general items within the Wailuku Redevelopment Area.

I'm in the process of putting together a draft, and that's going to take some doing, because it's 0017

very broad conceptual areas including infrastructure, and housing, and municipal parking lot, economic development issues, and so on.

So, as time goes forward, I'll be narrowing that down. Unless we get an approved draft, then I'll be sharing that with you. But that is the only other steps that I'm aware of as far as the municipal parking lot goes that this agency is involved in.

BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: My understanding is that the MRA has already taken a position several years ago that the municipal parking lot is the highest priority. The redevelopment of the municipal parking lot is the highest priority of this agency. Unless that's going to change, I think that's put to rest, insofar as our position.

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Is there any public testimony before we open it for discussion on item E, which is creation of a resolution and vote to adopt a resolution concerning the MRA's position on the municipal parking lot redevelopment, a recommended design and recommended next steps?

MS. PERREIRA: Jocelyn Perreira, Wailuku Main Street Association, Inc. I believe Commissioner --I know Commissioner Ron Kawahara's statement is true and correct that that was the focus. It's also been

something that the agency has worked through many, many years.

In fact, it's probably the single most important issue that launched the agency becoming an autonomous agency, so that we could finally realize the dream of that.

I think from the Main Street's perspective, we would like to see the agency get back on track and take the Power Point presentation, as we have said, to share with the Council members as well as the administration, and bring those bodies together, along with the important stakeholders, and share a meeting of the minds.

14 We don't have to reinvent the wheel. If the 15 currently on record PC-1 that was voled upon -- I believe was February 2005 -- by the Maui Redevelopment 16 17 Agency, needs to be amended or changed somewhat, that 18 can be done once there's a consultation and a meeting of 19 the minds with all the parties that be. 20 But I believe that for the people of this 2.1 community, for most of the businesses in this community, 22 parking is their most urgent need, and we should stay 23 focused and try to get that accomplished. Thank you. 24 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: And when you get 25 through testifying, please sign in for the court 0019 1 reporter. 2 MS. HALAS: I will do that. It's customary 3 to testify -- public testimony at the end after we hear 4 the discussion. I'd like to reserve my three minutes 5 for post-discussion. 6 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Would you state your 7 name, please? 8 MS. HALAS: My name is Susan Halas. I am a 9 resident of Wailuku Town. CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: And in fact our custom 10 11 is generally to take public testimony --12 MS. HALAS: I cannot testify until I have 13 heard what the discussion is. 14 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: If I can finish the 15 sentence, our custom is to generally open it to public 16 testimony, so we can have that input before the members 17 discuss. We have also been fairly liberal as far as 18 always opening things up to public testimony. So, if 19 your preference is to wait until after we have 20 discussed --21 MS. HALAS: Yes, sir. 22 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: -- we will do that to accommodate that. 23 24 MS. HALAS: Thank you. 25 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: But our custom is to 0020 1 take public testimony first. MS. HALAS: Thank you. 3 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Any other -- and if you -- I forgot her name. Any other public testimony? 4 MR. KIMURA: Robert Kimura again. I take 5 6 this opportunity, because if I may be blunt and, of 7 course, across the board. Yeah. 8 As I mentioned, that we have been going at 9 this, the public multi-parking structure for 11 to 14 years. We got all the information that we need. All 10 11 you need -- if I may say so, I am going to get bold, 12 yeah, and say that the information is there, gather it, 13 and set your limits. 14 And generally speaking, get to know the 15 procedure, how you are going to get your money. And I know there are ways. So, give me a break, you know, to 16 17 give you an idea. Nobody is perfect. Nobody is a 18 genius, yeah.

19 But, I've gone through it to know that the 20 restricted height that can be introduced, and the size, 21 and for what purpose. And I would say at this point in 22 time, there's no room for multi-use purpose, if you 23 think about the height and structure of the situation. 24 Because we have been going through all that, and the 25 repetition is going to throw the timing off. As 0021 1 Mr. Kawahara says, timing is very important. 2 If you don't know the procedure, also, as to 3 how to get the money, yeah, for example, matching funds. 4 I know that you can get matching funds for this project, 5 yeah. I will say it ahead of time, because I diligently 6 come to these meetings that MRA conducts, because I'm 7 totally concerned about Wailuku and the project, you 8 9 And I'm not thinking about myself at all. 10 Not one bit. Otherwise, I will I stay from the --11 from -- away from this meeting. Won't -- we won't have 12 any -- any reason why I should attend. 13 Okay. If I -- if I can't put it across well 14 enough to present or put across the importance of this 15 project. And if you don't time it right -- look at this 16 year, the budget system is gone. If you were ready at 17 this point this time, I'm quite sure we could have 18 gotten the money. 19 MR. STEEL: Thirty seconds, Robert. MR. KIMURA: We were rich at this time. 20 21 next -- next budget period maybe we will be down. And 22 the longer you wait, the costs will go up naturally. 23 That's elementary. 24 So, I would say duck the Sunshine Law. Call 25 executive meetings, you know, as you can and wish, and 0022 1 duck and sidestep the Sunshine Law. 2 I'm sorry to give you a bad thought, 3 relative to the Sunshine Law -- Sunshine Law. 4 that's what it is. See, Wailuku Main Street 5 Association --6 MR. STEEL: Thank you. Thank you, Robert. 7 That's enough. 8 MR. KIMURA: All right. 9 MR. STEEL: Thank you. Any other public 10 testimony regarding item E? We will open it up for 11 discussion with the members. Any discussion on agenda 12 item E? 13 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Well, my only 14 comment is kind of like going back to square one, you know. It was the consensus of most people involved in 15 16 the -- who are interested in the County municipal 17 parking structure that the compromise plan was PC-1. 18 However, I understand that the Mayor is not 19 in favor of PC-1, but wants a multi-use structure. 20 that respect, we are back to square one, which means we 21 have got to go out and hire a consultant, design the 22 whole process all over again. So, it's very 23 frustrating.

24 However, in a sense, we don't really have 25 much choice, because if we don't -- at least can't get 0023 1 the Mayor to deviate from her preferred plan, then I 2 think that's what we are faced with, which means that 3 the allocation would have to be the next fiscal year. We can't do it this year. 5 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I think it was very 6 clear from the administration, at the removal of certain 7 funds for us to follow up on that project, that they 8 wanted to see something more comprehensive. And I agree 9 with Ron that our task this year is to do that and to 10 find collaborations perhaps, funding perhaps, get --11 follow up on -- is it Eugene, Oregon's, great parking? 12 MR. STEEL: Bend. 13 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Bend, Oregon's, 14 great facility, and be able to get Council approval all 15 along with the administration. I would like to be 16 prepared at next year's budget well in advance, so 17 that -- we were a day late and a dollar short this time. 18 So, I think that should be our effort over the next 12 19 years -- 12 months. 20 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. My input on the 21 discussion on item E is -- kind of dovetails with my 2.2 input when we get to item I, which is discussion of the 23 mission of the MRA. 24 And I would like a report also from the 25 Director regarding a seminar he did attend where it was 0024 1 mentioned about the Bend, Oregon, parking structure and 2 how they did things. That report might be helpful also. 3 What I'd propose we do is go on back to --4 since all the people who I believe wanted to testify 5 regarding item F, which is report on Council testimony 6 regarding the resolution concerning the MRA fiscal year 7 '08 budget submission, including Deidre Tegarden from 8 Office of Economic Development is present now, I propose 9 we table E and take up F and open it to public 10 testimony. 11 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: No objection. 12 MR. STEEL: The people who said they wanted 13 to testify on this item Darla, James, Anthony. You guys 14 want to testify, now is the time. 15 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yes, and prior to 16 their testimony, my impression is that the people 17 wanting to testify are mostly wanting to testify in 18 regard to the Iao Theatre feasibility study proposal. 19 Is that correct? 20 MS. PALMER: Yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: And it appears as 22 though they are using -- item F is the closest place to 23 put that into the agenda, which -- unless there's some 24 problem with due notice, I don't have any opposition to. 25 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Chair, I do have a

question, or counsel. FY08 budget submission is done with and gone. So, I'm -- I'm curious as are these --

0025

```
3
                 MR. STEEL: I am sorry.
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: -- projects that are
 5
     requesting '09 or are these projects that --
 6
                 MR. STEEL: My intention was to give
 7
     information, and maybe it should be under a different
8
     agenda item.
                 My intention was to give a review of the
9
10
     various budget items that we had spent money on out of
11
     the $95,000, and there had been some additional amounts
12
     requested, the Iao Theater proposal. And I wanted to
13
    bring that up before the -- before the membership here.
14
                 And it looks like I may have not made it
15
     clear enough. Maybe, John, if you want to rule on that,
16
     if I can just talk about those things without a separate
17
     agenda item specifically naming the proposals.
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Is this in your
18
19
     FY08 budget?
20
                 MR. STEEL: Oh, yeah, number three.
21
     should have been FY07 budget items on my report. That's
22
     where my intention was, but I put FY08. It should have
23
    been FY07, because that's the money that we are spending
24
    now.
25
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL:
                                              That changes a
0026
1
     lot. You are talking about a present budget versus a
 2
     proposed new budget, so I don't --
 3
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: You know what I might
    propose would be we do -- we do have a policy of general
 5
    public testimony under item C.
 6
                 And what I would say is we don't have a
 7
     particular agenda item for the members to discuss the
 8
     Iao Theater feasibility study proposal, but we could
9
     take public testimony under the general item C, and then
10
     we could reserve until next month to put it on the
11
     agenda for the members to discuss any issues regarding
12
     the Iao Theater feasibility study proposal.
13
                 But I don't think, under the Sunshine Law
14
     and the notice we are required to give, that the MRA can
15
     take much action this month. But if there's people here
16
     to testify this month, then it might be a good time to
17
     do that.
18
                 MS. PALMER: Maybe can I make a request
19
     after I will introduce myself.
20
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yes.
21
                 MS. PALMER: I'm Darla Palmer, consultant
2.2
     for the Iao Theater on lease and building issues. And
23
     that was my first question, actually, because I thought
     from my conversations with Wayne that we were looking at
24
25
     what was left in the '07 budget. So, I understand the
0027
 1
     issue, but it's going to create a real timing problem
 2
     if -- if we are going to take any action.
 3
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Well, if it becomes
 4
     critical, then the members could consider a special
 5
    meeting, but we still have to give 10-days notice, I
 6
    believe.
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Six days.
```

8 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Six-days notice before 9 we could take any action. 10 MS. PALMER: I think what would be great is 11 if we had some dialog on -- to whether or not you think 12 this is a good course of action, and then, you know, you 13 can decide how to --14 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: What I'd suggest --15 MS. PALMER: -- legalize it. 16 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: What I'd suggest on 17 this would be that during the public testimony, the 18 general one, members can ask questions, and that might 19 give you some idea based on the questions. 20 MS. PALMER: Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: But as far as formal 22 discussion and trying to do something, we are -- we are 2.3 limited. 24 MS. PALMER: Uh-huh. 25 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: But I think in the 0028 1 questions you might be asked, you might get some gist of 2 what the members might be thinking after you testify. 3 MS. PALMER: Okay. Well, that said, I am 4 testifying on our proposal for an Iao Theater feasibility study. We really need to take the next step 5 6 forward with the Iao Theater, and we are requesting the 7 MRA designate 41,800 in marketing and development funds 8 for a feasibility study. 9 To meet the challenge of the Wailuku 10 Redevelopment Market Development Action item regarding 11 expanded use of the Iao Theater, the theater must be 12 rehabilitated. Even though the building looks better, 13 the floor is rotten, it's not accessible, there's no 14 proper space allocation for multiple use, no plan or 15 budget for repair or long-term maintenance, and the 16 building is not air-conditioned. 17 To expand the use of the theater, we need a 18 We need to bring in professionals in theater 19 development, financing needs to be arranged. And the 20 advantages, there are many, many professionals available 21 in historic theater development and financing. 22 Government organizations, organizations such as Wailuku Main Street, MCT and other interested parties are 23 24 valuable contributors to the plan. 25 We have an opportunity right now to hire a 0029 1 consulting team to move this project forward. Not only 2 are they well-versed in historic structures in 3 developing performing art centers, they have ties to the 4 Maui community. It's a very unusual set of beneficial 5 circumstances that these folks hold. This is the North 6 Group, and I gave you some information from their 7 website attached to your packet. 8 They have recently completed projects for 9 Maui Arts & Cultural Center including strategic 10 planning, fund raising feasibility, staff and board 11 retreats, capital and endowment campaigns, and they only 12 recently become available.

But our window of time to make an agreement with them is by July. And as well, we would work with assembling a team of other professionals and local organizations that have an interest in the project. I was asked, when we were discussing this, if this is rushed because we were trying to allocate some -- some funds from the '07 budget.

2.0

And no, if you follow the time line of the history that I have given you, we have been working towards this for four years, and feasibility is the next logical step in this type of construction project. It's where we are going to define where the money is coming from. The needs assessment was done. We know the

community wants it. This puts a real framework around the plan.

Today we have a real opportunity to make a difference and show the public that we are -- we are moving forward. All of us are moving forward to rehabilitate the theater.

Maui Community Theater intends to seek at least \$20,000 on its own to add to this project. This request is only part of the project budget. And we aren't looking for an approval of the grant of this, but just to have the MRA look and hopefully agree that this is an appropriate use of funds under the Wailuku Redevelopment Plan.

And again, I just wanted to mention that we do have a timing issue. So, with the agenda issue, if we are going to move forward and take advantage of this, we would need to make a decision this month. Does anybody have any questions of me?

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: No questions by the members. Thank you. Any other testimony?

MS. PERREIRA: Regarding?

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Regarding item F. No, I'm sorry. I guess we are actually taking testimony again under the public testimony of C. Sorry.

MR. LEVOY: My name is Anthony Levoy, and

I'm the Board President for Maui Community Theater. I just wanted to bring up the quality of the Board that we currently have, as far as we have Will McThewson. He is a well-respected architect here on Maui. James Loux, electrical engineer. AnnaLena Zanolini, she's vice-president of Bank of Hawaii.

As far as this project having to do with not only the feasibility, but as we move forward into the actual function of the redeveloping the physical asset, myself, I'm the president of Peake-Levoy Commercial Real Estate. We are one of the largest in the State of Hawaii, and we are only on Maui. We have built it on quality management.

So, this is the team that will be on board through this entire project. Maui Community Theater, doing business as Maui OnStage, we helped champion the legislation that was recently signed by Governor Linda

18 Lingle in front of the Iao Theater that helps all 19 nonprofits enter into long-term leases, and we are 20 willing to champion this to its very end. Thank you. 21 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I have a question. 22 MR. LEVOY: Sure. 23 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: What is the current 2.4 status of Maui OnStage's lease of the Iao Theater with 2.5 the County? 0032 1 MR. LEVOY: We are basically month-to-month 2 at this particular time. 3 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Okay. Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I have a question. I 5 don't know if you are the one to answer it or Darla. 6 But what I'm coming to is the idea that what the Maui 7 Community Theater dba Maui OnStage is asking or is 8 wanting to ask is a request that some of our remaining 9 '07 budget be used for the \$41,800 that's needed for the 10 Iao Theater feasibility study budget? Is that -- is 11 that correct? 12 MR. LEVOY: Yes. 13 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Which leads to my 14 question, how much do we have in unencumbered funds for 15 the fiscal year '07 budget? 16 MR. STEEL: I can't give a complete 17 statement, but I know for sure we do have the 41, and 18 just out of that 95. That's what I submitted a -- an 19 accounting of that. As far as our operating funds, I 20 don't have a definite figure for you. 21 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Are we legally allowed 22 to use some of that money for this? 23 MR. STEEL: Well, this is what we would need 24 to discuss, whether or not it falls under our plan. And 25 once again, we do have an item in our plan that says 0033 that we should encourage the use of the Iao, I believe 1 2 is the way that it's stated. CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: My question is is when 3 4 we got the budget from the Council, did they itemize? 5 MR. STEEL: This was not part of the 6 itemization. However, if it falls within the marketing 7 area, which is what the 95,000 was for, I believe we 8 would have justification to spend it. 9 And under MD2, marketing development on page 10 40, the wording is expand the use of the Iao Theater. 11 And if it was our judgment that this project fell into 12 that area, we would be justified, I believe, in spending 13 the money. 14 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: I thought we had 15 that question settled when we -- this agency gave a 16 grant to the Iao Theater for the seating. That was 17 about two or three months ago. 18 MR. STEEL: We did do that in the amount 19 of -- which is on your list there in the amount of Iao 20 chairs, 15,457. 21 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I mean, it looks 22 like these are pre-building kinds of costs. They are

23 not marketing costs. And so because we don't have our full leftover budget in front of us, I think we should 24 25 defer this discussion until we have that information. 0034 1 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Well, I don't 2 necessarily agree with it. Time is of the essence. I think the Iao Theater is an icon. I mean, as a kid I 4 used to go there, the 10-cent movies and all of that. 5 So, it's the one historic structure in Wailuku Town. 6 It's kind of like the anchor for Wailuku Town other than 7 the government offices. So, I think that --8 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I am sorry. 9 going to interrupt. The Chair's concern is that if we 10 have much discussion, I think we might well be in 11 violation of the Sunshine Law --12 MS. PERREIRA: That's right. 13 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: -- which does require 14 notice regarding what our agenda items are going to be. 15 If the pleasure of the agency members is that we do 16 expedite discussion on this, then we could entertain the 17 idea of having a special meeting, as soon as we possibly 18 could, that provides for the six-day notice requirement. 19 We could have that meeting at the MRA 20 offices, so we don't have to worry about planning a time 2.1 for this room, and then we would be able to proceed with 22 this type of discussion, which the Chair's happy to do. 23 I just want to do it in proper procedure. 24 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I move that we do 25 call a special meeting for this, Chair, and have it at 0035 1 the MRA office, with all of the updated information on 2 the budget that we need to have. 3 MR. STEEL: I'm not --4 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Six days you said? 5 MR. STEEL: When you request for updated 6 information, the marketing money that we are granted by 7 Council, that's what I would propose that we have authorization from that pot of money, but not from 8 9 other -- other possible surplus in operations. Because 10 we are --11 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I'd like to be able 12 to --13 MR. STEEL: -- granted by Council certain 14 marketing funds, so -- and that's in front of you. 15 There's no more updating to do other than what's in 16 front of you, and that's -- so, I just want to let you 17 know that. 18 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Well, like Ron, I 19 love the Iao Theater and also went to the Saturday 20 double feature for a quarter. And so --21 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: You are younger than 22 I am. 23 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I would like to have 2.4 all that information and all the funding available, so 25 that this body can make a decision as to what we want to 0036

do and from where we want to take the money. So, if we

```
can do that in six days at a special meeting, I'd like
 3
     that.
 4
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: So, I understand the
 5
     motion is to have a special meeting of the MRA to
 6
     discuss the fiscal year '07 budget -- no?
 7
                BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: To discuss this
8
    particular --
9
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right. Well, that as
10
     well as this request for basically monies from that
11
    budget for the Maui Community Theater dba Maui OnStage
12
     request for monies for their Iao Theater feasibility
13
     study budget.
14
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I don't want to
15
     limit it to just FY07 budget money.
16
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: So, you just want it
17
     so --
18
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I would like to
19
     discuss the project.
20
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: A special meeting
21
    regarding this project.
22
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Yes, yes.
23
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. Is there a
24
     second to the motion?
25
                BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: I'd second it.
0037
1
                CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. Then we can set
 2
     a time after. Any discussion on the motion?
 3
                 MR. STEEL: Yes, I do. The soonest we could
 4
    have it would be June the -- let's see. Today is the
 5
     25th. So, a six-day notice would be a week from Friday,
 6
     would be the 1st. I would say Monday, June 4th, which
 7
     is -- yeah.
 8
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: That's Memorial Day.
9
    No, sorry.
10
                 MR. STEEL: Is that -- or any time during
     that week following June 4th. The 4th would be the
11
12
     soonest.
13
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: That's a Monday?
14
                 MR. STEEL: Because I have to give six days
15
    notice, yeah.
16
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I will move that we
17
    have it on Monday the 4th of June.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I just need to check
18
19
    my calendar.
20
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Where is it?
2.1
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: It's in my office. I
22
     can call my office in two minutes, and then get back to
23
    you on that. Is there a time on June 4th?
24
                BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: I have mine in my
25
     car.
0038
1
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay.
 2
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Defer it.
 3
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, why don't we
     take a five-minute recess, and we will re-adjourn, and
 5
     the members can check their calendars. Given our
     status, we only have four members now. We need a quorum
```

of at least three. So, we will take a five-minute recess and re-adjourn. We will check our calendars for 8 9 June 4th. We will reconvene in five minutes. 10 (Recess, 1:49 p.m. Resumed, 1:57 p.m.) 11 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. We will 12 reconvene the meeting, and there is a motion for a 13 special meeting. And while we were at recess, the time 14 that would work for the members, in order to create a quorum, would be June 4th, 2007, at 2:15. 15 And the 16 agency does have authority under Title MC-12 to call 17 special meetings under --18 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Rule -- Section 19 12.701.10, Subsection F. 20 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. So, under that 21 rule, there is a motion and as amended by that time, 22 specific time of 2:15. All in favor of setting the 23 special meeting at that time. 24 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Aye. 25 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Ron. 0039 1 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Yes, aye. 2 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Aye. Okay. 3 motion carries. There will be a special meeting June 4th, 2007, 2:15 at the MRA offices at the address 4 5 of --6 MR. STEEL: 1980 Main Street. 7 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: To discuss a request 8 by Maui Community Theater dba Maui OnStage for moneys 9 from the Maui Redevelopment Agency for the Iao Theater 10 feasibility study budget. 11 For continuity of the record and also so 12 that we are not on the edge of the Sunshine Law, the 13 Chair would suggest that unless someone is unable to 14 attend that meeting, that they reserve their testimony 15 that they might try and give under agenda item C, which is a general public testimony, until that date and time. 16 17 Is there anyone else wishing to testify 18 under the general public testimony agenda item C at this 19 time? 20 MS. HALAS: My name is Susan Halas, and I hope this is not too confusing. At this time, I'm 21 22 testifying as the co-chair of the Clean Up Wailuku 23 subcommittee of the Wailuku Community Association. 24 And my co-chair Teri Edmonds was unable to 25 stay for the rest of the meeting, and she would normally 0040 1 be giving this testimony. We would like to state -- we 2 would like to address the question of general public 3 testimony to the marketing funds for the Redevelopment 4 Agency. 5 And while we have no specific objection to 6 designating funds to the Iao Theatre or any other worthy 7 project, it is the sense of our membership, the will of 8 our membership that marketing funds go for much more 9 direct marketing, i.e., and things that can be seen 10 where the results can be seen more quickly or 11 immediately.

12 For example, we are very grateful to the MRA 13 for spearheading the cleanup drive, that we can see 14 people -- we see the impact of that immediately. I know 15 I see the difference in the street already, and this is 16 very, very beneficial to us in the neighborhood. 17 While I understand that there are other 18 projects that are very worthy, and our group understands 19 that, too, with the very limited marketing funds 20 available, we'd like to see that go to marketing, today, 21 now, immediately, advertising, other things that will 22 bring people to Wailuku that will make Wailuku visible. 23 And this is in no way to cast anything 24 negative on the worthiness of the Iao Theater project, 25 because we do believe it is a worthy project. But with 0041 the limited resources that this organization has and the 1 many people, the many, many people in Wailuku who need 3 things more immediately, this is our testimony that we'd 4 like to see. 5 And also, our feedback from the Council is 6 they want us to come back to them next year and show 7 them specifically where the money that they have 8 designated to this organization has gone to help the --9 you know, the marketing, the selling of Wailuku, 10 hands-on, tangible stuff now. And I -- I think that 11 covers my point as the Cleanup Chair. 12 Again, thank you very much for your cleanup efforts, and they are very appreciated. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Thank you. 15 MR. STEEL: Mr. Chair, I asked Deidre 16 Tegarden to come to this meeting, and one of the things 17 that she will have comment on is the Iao Theater 18 proposal. 19 I guess in light of our special meeting, I 20 would ask that Deidre, do you -- if you cannot come to that special meeting, that if you have information you 21 22 would like to share now, this would be okay. But 23 otherwise, come to the special meeting on that subject. 24 MS. TEGARDEN: I can do the special meeting 25 on -- on the 4th at 2:15. 0042 1 MR. STEEL: At 2:15. 2 MS. TEGARDEN: Yes, I would like to hear the 3 entire proposal. 4 MS. HALAS: I have the 7th. Is it 4th? 5 MS. TEGARDEN: Pardon? 6 MS. HALAS: I have the 7th, and you said the 7 4th? 8 MS. TEGARDEN: I heard June 4th, right. 9 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I am sorry. Can we go 10 off the record for a minute if there's going to be discussion in the audience? 11 12 MS. HALAS: I didn't hear right for the 13 What is the date of it, the special meeting? CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: The special meeting 14 15 will be June 4th, at 2:15, and it will be at the Maui 16 Redevelopment Agency office.

```
17
                MS. HALAS: Thank you.
18
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Not in this room.
19
                 MS. HALAS: Thank you.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. Thank you.
20
21
                 MR. STEEL: There was one other item that I
22
     asked Deidre to come for, and that was regarding the
23
    website that is -- if it would be all right if we
2.4
     skipped to item H.
2.5
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay.
0043
1
                MR. STEEL: This had to do with the
 2
     ownership or authorization for the MRA to expend $7,000
 3
     to maintain the site.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Is there anyone else
 5
     who wants to testify, since we have gone back to item C,
 6
     open public testimony? And if not, we will go to item
 7
 8
                 MS. PERREIRA: Item F. Jocelyn Perreira.
9
     First of all, I just want a clarification on item F, I
10
     think, where we had that '07 and the '08 problem.
11
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Well, in fact, that's
12
     where we were, and then we went to C, general public
13
     testimony again.
14
                 MS. PERREIRA: Right.
15
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: After we complete C,
16
     general public testimony, in fact, we are going to skip
17
     to H, so that Deidre Tegarden can testify and then
     leave, and then we will go back to F.
18
19
                 MS. PERREIRA: Okay.
20
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: So, are you wanting to
21
     testify under general public testimony?
22
                 MS. PERREIRA: Well, I guess, because you
23
    reopened it up, there needs to be one comment that we
24
    have to make on that.
25
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN:
                                      Okay.
0044
1
                 MS. PERREIRA: But what I want to get real
 2
     clear is what happens to FY07 discussion with the
 3
     amounts that we had? Is that going to be now for next
 4
    meeting?
 5
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, I'm afraid that
 6
     it's going to have to move to next meeting, because --
 7
                MS. PERREIRA: Okay. Okay.
8
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN:
                                      -- it didn't get
9
    noticed properly.
10
                 MS. PERREIRA: Okay. So, thank you for that
11
     clarification, because I was very confused on that.
12
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay.
13
                 MS. PERREIRA: So, the only other thing I
14
     would like to report to the agency is that there was a
15
     task force convened for the marketing funding under
16
     Office of Economic Development for Wailuku. And the
17
     intent of the task force was not just for things that
18
     can be done immediately now for just one particular
19
     group in town, one group of -- one segment of the
20
     community in town.
21
                 So, I just want to remind everybody of that.
```

```
22
     If we have to go back to the record, we can pull back
23
     the record, and that's why the specific items were
24
     listed very carefully by a broad cross-section of
25
     community members.
0045
1
                 They were well thought out, because the
    monies were limited, and it was not intended to be a
 3
     slush fund for any one particular group or one
 4
    particular purpose. Thank you.
 5
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Any other general
 6
     public testimony under agenda item C?
 7
                 MS. KONG: Aloha. My name is Cherlyn Kong,
 8
     and I am a resident of Happy Valley. And I wanted to
 9
    kind of pose a question as well as make a comment.
10
                 It's my understanding that -- I know we
     talked, but I just want it for the record. It's my
11
12
     understanding that funds for the beautification section,
13
     not the cleanup, but the beautification section of Happy
14
     Valley has been cut out. Am I correct in that?
15
                 MR. STEEL: Actually, it's not that the
16
     funds were cut out. It's that the funds that they had,
    because of increased construction costs, will not cover
17
     what they had hoped would originally be included in the
18
19
     contract.
2.0
                 MS. KONG: Okay. So, rather than allocate
2.1
     from another street, say, for instance, Wells Street,
22
     they chose to cut off at Mill Street and not -- cut out
     from Mill to Mokuhau; is that correct?
23
2.4
                 MR. STEEL: I cannot say exactly where the
25
     cutoff is, but my understanding is that somewhere around
0046
1
    Mill Street and all the way through to Mokuhau will not
 2
    be done in this phase of the beautification project.
 3
                 MS. KONG: Okay. Will there -- is there a
 4
    proposal for it being included in the next phase,
 5
     without --
 6
                 MR. STEEL: My conversation with the Public
 7
     Works Director is that, yes, they are planning to do it,
8
    but he wouldn't give me a time line.
 9
                 MS. KONG: Okay.
10
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: My suggestion is
     that you contact Department of Public Works directly.
11
     They have their own team working on the beautification
12
13
     project.
14
                 MS. KONG: That's Wendy Kobashigawa.
15
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Absolutely.
                 MS. KONG: All right. Thank you very much.
16
17
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Any other testimony
18
     under item C, general public testimony? If not, we will
     move now to item H, and then back to F.
19
20
                 Item H is determination of MRA ownership of
21
     www.wailukumaui.org and authorization for the MRA to
22
     expend $7,000 to maintain the site.
2.3
                 MR. STEEL: This is where I'd like to ask
2.4
     Deidre, as the Economic Development Coordinator for
25
     Charmaine Tavares administration, who has experience in
0047
```

having granted various organizations money to either establish or update their website and the ramifications of that on the concept of ownership. So, if you would take it away, Deidre.

MS. TEGARDEN: Yes. Thank you, and thank you for giving me the opportunity to come before you all this afternoon.

The Office of Economic Development has funded various nonprofits through the years to grant them money to start their own websites. Once we grant that money, the website becomes ownership of the grantee. So, it is not a County-run website. It is truly the website of the grantee.

So, I think that that was one of the questions. And while I was not involved from the beginning with the granting of this funding, it is my understanding that the money was granted to Lokahi Pacific to begin a Wailuku website. And it is not the County's -- it's not under a County ownership at all.

So, that's -- I think that clears up one of the questions. And I was speaking with Wayne briefly, and I know I'm preaching to the choir here, but websites nowadays -- and I come from a nonprofit background myself. You know, websites these days are not as difficult to maintain as they -- as they have been in 0048

the past.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

And I know I'm from Big Brothers, Big Sisters. We were able to maintain our own website with very little -- you know, none of us were computer geniuses. So, you know, that -- I don't know who is -is continuing to control the website, but just one of the nice things to do is that they are so much easier to update these days, and they also serve as such a wonderful economic engine both for self-sustainability and also for going out and finding funding by selling banner ads on the website, or selling logo placements to sponsors.

So, I don't know if that is -- if that clears everything up, but regarding the ownership of the website, it is -- it is not County -- it's not County owned.

MR. STEEL: Deidre, one of the motions that was passed in our meeting last month was the \$7,000 to give to a particular vendor to maintain -- I'm not sure all what their offer was, but the vendor was interested in assisting whoever the owner was. And at that time, it was a question about whether it would be the MRA or Lokahi Pacific or whoever.

MS. TEGARDEN: Right.

MR. STEEL: Is it your experience that

25 0049 1

that -- well, I quess first question is, is there money that OED could help, whoever the owner turns out to be, to either train them in maintaining the website or assisting them with other types of vendor assistance? MS. TEGARDEN: Yes, OED would be very

```
willing, based on a good grant proposal, to assist with
 7
     another stage of either, as you say, getting a new
     person trained on how to update their own website. Or,
9
    you know, any general information that they might need,
10
     we would certainly be looking to help again, and in the
11
    hopes, of course, that that website then turns around
12
     and is able to sustain itself, but also possibly help
13
     with funding for the nonprofit.
14
                MR. STEEL: Thank you. One other question.
15
     The grant proposal that I distributed to all the members
16
     from Lokahi Pacific, that your office actually was the
17
     recipient of this grant request or proposal, states that
18
     the initial lead with this project would be -- would be
19
     by Lokahi, and they would partner with Wailuku Community
20
     Association.
21
                 What is your take on how or if that should
22
    happen, or does OED have any more to do with it once the
23
    money has been spent and reimbursed?
24
                 MS. TEGARDEN: Unless I am -- I don't think
25
     I'm speaking incorrectly, and Corp Counsel can probably
0050
1
     steer me in the right way if I am.
 2
                 But again, it is my understanding that once
 3
     the money has been granted to the grantee, and the money
 4
    has been reimbursed, it is truly the -- the grantee owns
 5
     the website. It's no longer -- it's not a County-owned
 6
             Am I correct, Corp Counsel?
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: That's correct.
8
     You may -- this money came from her office, but not to
9
    her other office or other former affiliation.
10
                 MS. TEGARDEN: It came from OED.
11
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Yeah, it came
12
     from OED to Lokahi Pacific, not Big Brothers Big
13
     Sisters.
14
                 MS. TEGARDEN: No, no, no. I was just
15
     giving an example. I like to throw that in.
16
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: It was MRA marketing
17
    money however.
18
                 MS. TEGARDEN: Yes, okay. It was just
19
     granted through our office.
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: It was part of a
20
21
     95,000-dollar grant specifically for MRA marketing.
22
                 MR. STEEL: Okay. That's all my questions.
23
                 MS. TEGARDEN: Okay.
24
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Any other questions?
25
     Any other public testimony?
0051
1
                 MS. TEGARDEN: Thank you.
 2
                 MR. STEEL: Thanks for coming, Deidre.
 3
                MS. TEGARDEN: Thank you. Have a happy
 4
    Memorial Day.
 5
                 MS. PERREIRA: Jocelyn Perreira, Wailuku
 6
    Main Street Association, Inc. It is moneys that were
 7
     intended for marketing of Wailuku through what's listed
     in the Wailuku Redevelopment Plan. However, when some
 9
    of these grants were parceled out, they did not go on a
10
     formal request for proposal.
```

Alexa and I sat in the office of Lynn
Araki-Regan when we first initially discussed a project
like this. And we made a contribution to expanding the
purpose, because Alexa had a real good idea on how to
get the visitors to come and whatnot.

And we said, well, it ought to not be just to visit, but to work, and to -- living in Wailuku as well as visiting. So, when she put out the grants, I guess to every one of us, we didn't know who got what grant or whatever, so we had no opportunity to express concern.

But when we did talk about it, there was very definite concern as to the who is the owner of this site, because it could be given to Joe Schmoe down the street, and then if they have -- they have the

responsibility over the content, you could end up with some major problems of misinformation, so on and so forth that goes on on this site.

Our opinion and the belief of our organization has been that Lokahi Pacific got the grant, just as we got a grant to do a brochure. But once that is done, it's turned over to the County, and it's the property of the County, and we have to note the County on there.

Furthermore, there was a press release that was issued, and that also noted the contribution of, for instance, the Wailuku Main Street Association as a regular contributing member to the website.

So, in final analysis, I would like to say it would be a concern of ours that the Maui Redevelopment Agency doesn't own the site, because I think the Maui Redevelopment Agency will insure that proper information goes out by legitimate sources. And if you want to -- if you want to sub out, you know, how you get ads or what have you, you can do that, and you can put out a request for proposal, so anybody who wants to undertake that can present their -- what they are able to provide -- the service they are able to provide to you, and you could determine and choose who you wanted to use for that.

But as far as just giving the site to somebody, because somebody merely did the initial part of the project, we don't concur with that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Any questions? BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Testimony.

MR. IVY: David Ivy, Revitalize Wailuku. I just wondered if the website is up at all? Is it under construction? Is there stuff on there?

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: The website is completed. We had -- we had a presentation of the website at the MRA's last meeting.

MR. IVY: Okay. I am sorry.

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: And that's when this discussion about its future growth and maintenance and where that ownership should lie began.

16 MR. IVY: Okay. Yeah, I just --17 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: It is 18 www.wailukumaui.org. 19 MR. IVY: Thank you. 20 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: All of you have the 21 grant request in front of you, and it clearly states, as 22 we discussed at our last meeting, that this was done as 2.3 a collaboration primarily for the Wailuku Community 24 Association to take forward and maintain. 25 They did not yet have their 501(c)3 status, 0054 1 and Lokahi Pacific acted as an umbrella in that regard. 2 The Wailuku Community Association, as I asked today, still does not have that 501(c)3 designation and does 4 not have the capacity to manage or grow this website. 5 The suggestion and the discussion and the 6 vote last month that it fits in line with the MRA's 7 mission, and that we voted that way, is to find 8 ownership for something that is there. And it is part 9 of the marketing of Wailuku, which is part of the MRA's 10 mission. And so I'm -- I'm curious as to why we are 11 having this discussion again. 12 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: The reason is that 13 everybody is in agreement that -- and with Lokahi 14 Pacific, MRA should own the website. The problem was 15 that Corporation Counsel had a problem with that. 16 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Right. BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: So, a question to 17 18 Corporation Counsel is can MRA own www.wailukumaui.org, 19 the domain name? 20 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: MRA already has 21 a website within the County of Maui website. You could 22 expend these funds and probably own it. However, under 23 the State statute, there is no authority for you to own 24 a website. 25 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: But there isn't one 0055 1 that prohibits us either. DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Yeah. 2 3 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: So, I am saying at 4 this point --5 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: But you will be 6 going beyond the powers and duties given to you by the 7 statute, the State Statute 53 -- Section 53-5. 8 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Well, I think that 9 owning a website, in my opinion, is no different than 10 owning any other intangible asset. It's a domain name 11 that costs \$35 a year to register it, probably falls 12 under the de minimis rule or whatever. 13 But my feeling is that it makes sense for 14 the MRA or some other nonprofit that will have 15 continuity well into the future and has the funding 16 ability to maintain the website. So, taking into 17 account the Corporation Counsel's opinion, I'm going to 18 make a motion. 19 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: The motion was 20 already carried.

```
21
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: What motion?
22
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: I think you
23
    moved last time that you own the website.
24
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: We did?
25
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: At the expense
0056
1
     of a thousand dollars.
                MS. PERREIRA: That's right.
 2.
 3
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: I thought that was
 4
     subject to your opinion.
 5
                DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Yeah, and you
 6
     can either listen to my opinion or not. And obviously,
 7
     the discussion is, it appears to me, that you are not
 8
     going to listen. So, that's up to you.
 9
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I have a question
10
     for Corp Counsel. Is there anything that prevents the
11
     MRA from sponsoring a website that furthers its
12
    marketing mission and furthers its own mission?
13
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL:
14
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I move then that the
15
    MRA sponsor the website that was presented to us at last
16
    month's meeting, and sponsor it in our budget as a line
17
     item as discussed last month.
18
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Second.
19
                 MR. STEEL: Can I respond to that? The
20
    discussion, as I recall, had to do with giving a
21
    particular vendor -- maybe I'm mistaken about that -- a
22
    particular vendor $7,000 to maintain a website. That
23
    was only maintain. Had nothing to do with any sort of
24
     control over content.
25
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: No, that's not
0057
1
     correct.
 2
                 MR. STEEL: Is that incorrect?
 3
                BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Yeah, maintenance
 4
     was a small part of that whole amount. There was -- she
 5
     gave a very extensive report on fleshing out the
     website, so that it would better explain the MRA's
 6
 7
    mission, the things that the MRA was doing, including
 8
    more educational things about Wailuku, more inclusion by
 9
    organizations like Main Street Association and others.
10
     So, the maintenance was just one part of that $7,000
11
     request.
12
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: In her proposal --
13
                 MR. STEEL: The content -- if I understand
14
     what you are saying, I am not a techie. So, are we
15
     talking about making locations on the website? Is that
16
     what she would do, so you could go to locations and
17
     links to find things? Sort of the mechanics of it, is
18
     that what we are talking about? But not content
19
     specifically, she is not in charge of writing the
20
     content.
21
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: She was very clear
22
     that she was not in charge of content.
23
                 MR. STEEL: Right. That's what I thought.
24
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: That she would
25
     operate and maintain the website per the requirements of
```

2.4

the MRA, as the sponsor of this website, and it becomes our duty as commissioners to manage that task.

I will be on this commission for five years. I will volunteer. I'm sure others might volunteer as well to make sure that -- we could even write what our requirements are and how -- you know, we could write an operations plan on how this body will manage this sponsorship. But, I would like to go forward with what we had done last month.

MR. STEEL: That was going to be one of my -- my comments, is it would make me feel good, in putting any kind of line item in any budget, as to what specifically that money would be spent on. And if it's -- and if it's volunteers that are going to be doing a lot of the content or accepting and reviewing content, making decisions about content, obviously, we don't need money for that.

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I heard your discontent with having to manage this last month, Wayne, so --

MR. STEEL: I'm also curious about how -- if merchants want to sell merchandise or advertise their businesses or put money into this website, how that could be managed through this agency, as it's not set up to receive any money, nor is the County set up -- set us

up to receive any money in respect to that, unless there was a third party somehow that -- that did that.

So, I would like to see a full-on proposal as to how this could be done that would maintain the integrity of the MRA as well as the County. So, that is my request. I appreciate you offering that.

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: So, you would like to see something more in depth than what we received from the first vendor?

MR. STEEL: I think that her -- she described her service, but it's not at all what I would look at if I was wanting to put money out, especially if I'm giving responsibility for content, for what type of programs are going to be on that website, who will have the right to say what prices are charged for what types of banner ads or whatever.

To me, this is a marketing device, and it could be an economic development engine. And I think that ought to be part of whoever takes over this thing ought to have that viewpoint, because that's really what I think the thing is all about.

BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: If I can comment on this thing. This website needs to be completed, because it's incomplete as it stands. I counted 19 items on the additional features and pages that's supposed to go into

this website. The base maintenance cost is only 80 or \$90 a month, so the bulk of the money is going to go into developing the website.

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: That's correct.

BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: None of her proposal 6 includes any ads. That's something that the commissioners need to make a decision on. So, my 7 feeling is I don't want to do an RFP at this point. 8 9 somebody is familiar with the website or the language, 10 they have done probably half of it. We need to complete 11 12 So, my feeling is let's move forward on this 13 It's an important marketing tool, the website. 14 I don't know that I'm comfortable with trying to sell 15 ads through our website. I mean, that wasn't the 16 purpose of it. 17 We are basically a nonprofit. It's an 18 informational website. It's got interactive maps, 19 historical data, the weather -- links to weather and things like that, but there is nothing in this proposal 2.0 21 that's going to provide for links to, you know, banner 22 ads or whatever. 23 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: That's correct. And 24 I remember from the minutes of last month's meeting, 25 that was the consensus of this body, that it was not 0061 1 going to be commercial in that regard. 2 It's an educational website focused on the 3 mission of the MRA. And what needs to be fleshed out 4 from what was already done are the things that the MRA 5 now would like to see that website do. So, the banner 6 ads come off. 7 But, Wayne, if you -- I hear you saying you 8 want to see a written proposal of how this is going to 9 work, and I'll be happy to work with the vendor to 10 provide that to you. 11 MR. STEEL: That would be great. 12 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: What I would suggest 13 is that we have authority to assign committees, and it could even be a committee of one, and for investigation, 14 15 and then report back to the MRA. So --BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: You want me to 16 17 investigate? 18 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, I guess the 19 Chairman could ask people -- one member to investigate, 20 so would you investigate and report back? 21 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Yes, I'd be happy 22 to. 23 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. Thank you. 2.4 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: At the next 25 scheduled meeting, not the next special meeting. 0062 1 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. And then we 2 will carry over that item on the agenda till the next 3 meeting until you report back? 4 MR. STEEL: The ownership item? 5 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I don't know that 6 this has to be a continuing agenda item. We are not 7 going to own it. We are going to sponsor it. 8 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: And the owner is going to be --

10 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: The MRA. BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I don't know the 11 answer to that. I know that Lokahi Pacific was asked to 12 13 continue this, but it's outside of their realm really. 14 We did it on behalf of the Wailuku Community 15 Association. BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: But you are saying 16 17 even if they achieved their 501(c)3 designation, that 18 they would not have the capacity to maintain this? 19 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Well, if the MRA 20 were sponsoring it, they would, and they would take a 21 different tact. So, if MRA wants to be in control of 22 content and that it be the voice of our mission, then we 23 have to be -- we have to collaborate with a party that 24 understands that, the party that would own the -- what 25 do you call it -- the domain name. 0063 1 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I need to have my 2 memory refreshed. We have a motion. I know it's 3 seconded. The nature of the motion is what again? BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: To sponsor. 5 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. 6 MR. STEEL: And the motion that we have from 7 last meeting that was tabled until we determined 8 ownership, to spend \$7,000, I assume that would be in 9 the area of sponsorship. But I don't know who, under 10 our certain -- until we receive a proposal, who that 11 money would go to. 12 Also, the 7,000 that we spend that kind of 13 money would need a number of bids under procurement law. 14 Consequently, I don't think we can designate a specific 15 vendor to get the money. 16 MS. PERREIRA: That's right. 17 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: I thought the 18 procurement law -- I mean, the need for bids was 25,000. 19 MR. STEEL: That's for RFPs. But we would 20 need at least three either written or oral quotes, and 21 we'd have to give them some scope of work. 22 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: It could be phased. 23 We talked about phasing it, so that it remains under 24 that limit until it gets going. MR. STEEL: Purchasing agent frowns on 25 0064 1 phasing. 2 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Well --3 MR. STEEL: I don't know if that can happen. 4 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: You know, it seems 5 like, you know, we always come up with reasons why we 6 can't do things, and that always bothers me. You know, 7 everybody agrees on the concept. We want to put our 8 mission statement on this website. We want to put our 9 project schedule. We want to put our priorities. 10 It's a great tool for tourists, for people 11 who want to move here, and yet we can't seem to move 12 forward. So, I'm just suggesting that we move forward 13 and find solutions to the problem rather than reasons 14 why we can't do this.

15 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I agree. So, in 16 addition, Manager Steel, to wanting to see a proposal of 17 how this would work and how we would manage content, you 18 want -- also want to see a breakdown, line item of the 19 various phases and between maintenance and content and 20 so on, and I will provide that for you. But I think the 21 body makes the decisions, does it not, Chair?

> CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right. That's right.

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: The question I have 25 for the members in regard to this discussion is, as far 0065

2.2

23

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

0066 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

as a website for the purposes we have talked about, promoting Wailuku, and also the mission of the Maui Redevelopment Agency, and possibly other nonprofit organizations, whether they have IRS standing as 501(c)3s or not yet, including the Wailuku Community Association and possibly Tri-Isle Main Street Association on the website, I want -- I won't ask it in the form of a question. I will really give my opinion.

My opinion is this. Is the problem with the MRA owning things and the problem with the MRA being in charge of things is that the MRA is encumbered by due process, and notice, and hearing. And that if we could just grant money to an entity, that isn't under the Sunshine Law, they could probably get something done and make it move forward.

And I think that is -- that is -- that's what -- I mean, that's where it sometimes does get slow and difficult and frustrating with the Maui Redevelopment Agency, is because I think sometimes we are trying to act in a way that's not in our best structure.

What we are organized for is to do things that require due process. For example, nobody should take somebody else's property, eminent domain, without notice, and hearing, and all due process. And Chapter

53 anticipates that an organization like the MRA could do something like that.

But Chapter 53 doesn't really talk about having -- creating organizations that could do the type of promotion that we are now trying to incorporate into the MRA.

And my opinion is is that the MRA, if we have a budget and we want to do things, that the best thing we could do with that money, rather than trying to figure out what do we do with this money and how do we supervise this money, which requires all this due process before we can turn around or do anything, is that we let our discussion be who do we think, outside of the MRA, would be the best entity to funnel our money to, so that they could do these things, and that they wouldn't be strapped with due process, RFP, three requests for bids and proposals and on like that. BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I agree. And I

think that collaboration with the community is fabulous.

```
20
     And so part of what I'll do, in my report back, is look
21
     for an entity that may be willing to serve in that
22
     capacity.
23
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, that would be --
2.4
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Okay.
25
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right. In my opinion,
0067
1
     that would be great. I mean, if there was --
 2
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: I have to
 3
     caution you though.
 4
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah.
 5
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: You are under
 6
     the gun for FY08 budget, and you want to make this a
 7
     line item for next year's budget, you will be
8
     scrutinized by Council and asked the question, if you
9
     already have a website, why are you sponsoring another
10
     website with your money when you can explore putting out
11
     these other links, or you may be able to have the
12
     opportunity to do so through your County website. And
13
     so you may be shooting yourself in the foot, basically,
14
    by expending --
15
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: But this is a County
16
     website.
17
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL:
                                              I understand
18
    that.
19
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: And this is FY07
20
     dollars.
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: I understand
21
     that. Okay. I already told you on the 53-5 of HRS,
2.2
23
     there is no provisions for you to own a website. Be
24
     very careful what you do. You will be before Council,
25
     and you will be scrutinized, and that's my two cents.
0068
1
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Just want to add in
 2
     addition to that, that the money that was used thus far
     to get this website started was part of the County
 3
 4
     budget and was approved by Council and administration
 5
     for MRA marketing. So, it's just furthering something
 6
     that they have already done.
 7
                 MR. KIMURA: Mr. Chair. Mr. Chair.
 8
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: So, there's a -- a
 9
     motion that the MRA sponsor the website
10
     www.wailukumaui.org, and there's a second. Any other
11
     discussion on the motion?
12
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I'm not sure,
13
     Counsel, if we have to first change the motion that is
     illegal, in your view, from last month to own it? Would
14
15
     we have to do that?
16
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Well,
17
     Mr. Kawahara was the maker of that motion. He could
18
     accept a friendly amendment at this point.
19
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: What is the
20
     amendment from?
21
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: From ownership
22
     to sponsorship.
23
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: From ownership to
24
     sponsorship.
```

25 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: From ownership to 0069 1 sponsorship. I will agree to the change provided that a 2 suitable agency own the website. 3 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Well, the Chair 4 proposes that we table the motion until next meeting, 5 and Alexa can come back with an investigatory report. 6 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Okay. 7 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Any objection to 8 tabling the motions until the next meeting? 9 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: No objection. 10 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. We will table 11 the motion and agenda item H until the next meeting. 12 So, we are now back to agenda item F, which 13 is report on Council testimony regarding the resolution concerning the MRA fiscal year -- this says '08 budget 14 15 submission. I understand that that should have been 16 properly fiscal year '07. 17 MR. STEEL: No, this is correct. 18 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: This one is correct. 19 Okay. Okay. So, fiscal year '08 budget submission. 20 MR. STEEL: The two members were going to go to Council and testify, and this would be a report on 21 22 what occurred. 2.3 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: What occurred was 2.4 that we found out that we were too late to testify in 25 the budget process. And because -- because of various 0070 1 testimony during budget by other former Commission 2 members, we felt that there was enough confusion amongst 3 Council that at an extraordinarily late date, we just 4 didn't want to do that. 5 Instead, we decided it might be better that 6 we spend this year refining the MRA vision, defining the 7 tasks, in addition to the municipal parking lot, 8 periphery tasks that we might be able to accomplish and 9 be prepared for the next budget. 10 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: What was the outcome 11 of the budget? I know there was a budget passed. 12 MR. STEEL: There is no -- it hasn't been 13 officially passed. But unofficially, what the Mayor 14 asked for this agency has been approved. I mean, it 15 hasn't been -- the Council didn't come back and cross --16 cross out anything that the Mayor asked for. That's 17 what I understand. 18 There is no final budget document generated 19 yet for me to be able to say this is exactly what was 20 approved. 21 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. I thought I had 22 read in the paper that the budget was all done. 23 MR. STEEL: Well, it's done except for the 24 official adoption by the Council. 25 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: All right. Any other 0071 1 discussion regarding agenda item F? 2 Then to item -- oh, in fact, I am skipping E altogether, right? We want to go to E, which would be

creation of a resolution and vote to adopt a resolution concerning the MRA's position on the municipal parking lot redevelopment, a recommended design, and recommended next step. So, back to item E. Any public testimony?

MS. PERREIRA: Jocelyn Perreira, Wailuku
Main Street Association. Our new Chairman of the Board is John Min. He's the former Planning Director with the County of Maui.

1 2

He led a discussion with our Board and had a discussion with the Mayor recently to just give her -- inform her of the status of MRA implementation projects. And in looking at it, it is clearly noted that there's a lot yet to do on the Wailuku Redevelopment Plan. Very premature to kind of revise it or amend it at this point because of the lengthy process.

However, it appears -- well, first of all, it's very important to engage not only the administration but to also engage the Council in discussions relating to this matter so we can actually make this matter move.

A top priority for our organization remains to be the messenger to stay focused and get the

municipal parking -- multi-use, multilevel sensitively designed parking structure, make it a reality.

However, it does appear that there need -that there will be need to resolve a legal issue
regarding HRS Section 53-13. That does note, and this
is after looking at past minutes from -- comments from
Corp Counsel and their opinions and so on and so forth,
that it notes that new construction by the agency -Redevelopment Agency may not erect new structures upon
any of its property except structures to be held and
used by the government for public purpose, emphasis
added.

The MRA was verbally advised by a Deputy Corporation Counsel in 2006 that a parking structure did not qualify under this criterion, since the parking was intended to benefit businesses in the area.

So, all the more reason to engage now the County Council in defining the redevelopment parameters for this property by the -- by a Council resolution, and that's where you folks were headed before you got derailed, so to speak.

Because there was a Power Point presentation prepared, probably still needs to go to Council, so they are let in on this discussion. It was the feeling of a lot of people that we consulted, even in consulting with

the Mayor, that perhaps the law that has tied your hands
here needs to be amended.

The law needs to be amended, so that you can do a lot of the things that you all have said. Because you have been going in the right direction, but this particular is like a -- is like a stick in the mud. You know, it's similar to the concession law that made it so cumbersome for everybody else.

So, I guess one of our recommendations is is to try to pursue that section of the law that is hampering you and seek and have a discussion with the Council, as well as the Mayor, to see if this particular law cannot be amended.

 So, since this year is a transition year, where the Mayor is deciding, you know, to what level and what extent the MRA is going to be in the future, as you will be also, and hopefully, you know, some of our comments as well, perhaps it is a time that you can work on some of the -- you know, defining the -- just some of the things that Alexa had alluded to earlier.

In the meantime, it is important that you continue to engage the Council in defining redevelopment parameters for this property by Council resolution, such as preferred number of additional parking stalls, preferred uses and estimated square footage required by

the County, preferred building height limits, funding options, and to seek agreement on a concept Redevelopment Plan.

And Ron was right when he said, at the former meetings, that MRA does have a plan. So, it's not like you are out there, and, boy, they don't even have a plan. That was not correct. You do have a plan, good, bad or indifferent.

You did adopt PC-1. If PC-1 is not the cup of tea of the Mayor, and we know it has problems with the Council, and we have concerns with it, too, the intent back then was to break ground, so we could start the project and design it to meet the expectations of all the important stakeholders.

Unfortunately, that discussion -- that meeting -- and Wayne Steel has said this on many occasions. He said it at least three meetings that there needs to be a meeting with the important major stakeholders, a meeting of the minds with them and the Council and the Mayor, to go in the right vision of what do we want out of this particular structure.

Then the WMSA recommends that in your next budget that you probably consider hiring a team, which would consist of a financial person, an engineer, an architect, whatever, so that then you can put and

articulate it all together.

If you would like, I can lay out the recommendations -- recommended plan that we recommend to the MRA in a form to proceed.

And finally, I have a note here from my Board Chair, need to remind the MRA that this is a priority action. So, thank you for this opportunity to offer input. The many, many people that we represent in the greater Wailuku as well as the core of Wailuku have waited long. This is a project long in waiting, and it just gets more expensive every day.

It's not -- and the blame should not be laid at the footsteps of the MRA, because there is something

14 that is problematic with the law that has made it tie 15 your hands, so to speak. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Any questions by the members? I have a question.

MS. PERREIRA: Okay.

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

0076 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Reading Chapter 53-13 that you talked about, which says that while the MRA will promote the revitalization, realization of the redevelopment plan, and it can grade, drain and construct streets and install necessary utilities, such as sewers, water and lights, but it may not erect new structures upon any of its property, except structures

to be held and used by the government for public purposes, is the MRA the best organization to spearhead the forward movement of the municipal parking structure, or would it possibly be better to have another entity that doesn't have the due process notice and hearing requirements that the MRA has, such as the Wailuku Main Street Association, for example, to take more of a spearhead of this, and then the MRA could simply pass a resolution saying, yes, we support this?

MS. PERREIRA: You know, I haven't investigated that and haven't had a chance to discuss it with, you know, our team of professionals, because that's not the way we had envisioned it.

We really fully support the powers of the agency to do some really significant things, and we viewed ourselves as a partner to assist the agency to do this.

The fact is, you may be right, but that wasn't something that we ever entered -- entertained the thought of to this point. But one of the things that -one of the reasons why it's important and compelling for you to go have a discussion with the Council and what have you, is so that you can resolve this.

But frankly, from my personal perspective, after not talking to the professionals and my Board and 0077

what have you, I really think that the whole reason that the MRA is supported and continues to be supported is cuz good, bad or indifferent, you folks have been determined -- and we are responsible in part for that -to be the agency by which we will accomplish this particular project.

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Uh-huh.

MS. PERREIRA: And I think that the Council members are banking on this as being your flagship project.

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right, right. I guess my question is based on the fact that, you know, having been on the agency for four years, and when I became a member of the agency, I know one of the goals that I spoke to at the County Council, when I was appointed as a member, was to say that I saw that the municipal parking structure was a top priority.

And I know we have had other members with

```
19
     the same vision when they became members, and I know we
20
    had Member McLeod, who was here and resigned, because I
21
     think he felt like the agency wasn't that effective
22
     in -- in promoting that -- you know, getting something
23
     to really happen. And I don't have a question there. I
24
     just have a general comment, I guess.
25
                 MS. PERREIRA: If I can respond.
0078
1
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yes.
 2
                 MS. PERREIRA: I don't think that the
 3
     members of this agency or anybody else that has
 4
     undertaken, embarked on this significantly important
 5
    project to Wailuku should be feeling bad about the
    progress on this project. A lot has been accomplished.
 7
                 I testified to the Council after your former
8
     agency member got up and spoke, because we were very
9
     much in fear that the project was going to go south, and
10
     so was the MRA because of that.
11
                 We spoke to the point that this project has
12
     a lot of the dots -- connect the dots in place.
13
     a doable project. This is a project that we can
14
     accomplish. I believe that we have a two-year window
15
     that can make this happen, because never before has the
16
     stars been aligned so closely to have --
17
                 (Laughter.)
18
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: We won't have
19
     interruption from the audience, please. Thank you.
20
                 MS. PERREIRA: To have a Mayor and a Council
     Chair both have the desire and the will to make this
21
22
     project happen.
23
                 It is something we believe is very doable.
24
     We stand by all of the professionals that have worked
25
     long and hard on this, and on behalf of all of the
0079
1
    people that have supported us, continued to support us
 2
     to advocate for this.
 3
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I have a question,
 4
     Chair.
 5
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay.
 6
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: So, your
 7
     organization -- exclude the parking lot for right now.
 8
     What are the other issues that your organization has
9
     identified that the MRA must -- that are left on that
10
     undone list?
11
                 MS. PERREIRA: Yeah, I have the list. I can
12
     submit it to you.
13
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Okay. Thank you.
14
                 MS. PERREIRA: We normally report on it --
15
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Great.
16
                 MS. PERREIRA: -- when we have our portion
17
     of the agenda. But there are some items that needs to
18
     still be accomplished. And I think while you are trying
19
     to get the law changed so you can do this significant
20
    project, you might be able to hit some of these other
21
22
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Well, what I would
23
     like to say is that the MRA has been significantly
```

2.4 single focused in the last decade that I have been on 25 that side of the issue. 0800 1 And in the development of and revitalization 2 of Wailuku, the MRA is aligned singly with the municipal 3 parking lot, and that's been to its detriment, because there are a lot of other responsibilities that the MRA 5 was tasked with a long time ago. 6 So, as I said last month and I will repeat 7 again, I think that it's incumbent on us, as a group, to 8 define those things that are still current, that still 9 need to be done, address -- you know, the last report we 10 have was done in what, 1999? 11 Bring it current. What things still need to 12 be done in the MRA area and focus on those. I think 13 also we need to be lawful. If it -- if it is a fact 14 that under our guiding law we cannot build a municipal 15 parking lot, we have to face that fact. 16 We could work at trying to change the law, 17 but there may be other agencies, other private/public 18 collaborations, other County agencies, other Federal 19 agencies that may very well be absolutely in line to do 20 the kind of mixed use parking structure that the Mayor 21 is envisioning and that Council Chair is envisioning. 2.2 So, I will again repeat that I would like to 2.3 see our effort, when we write this resolution, be on 24 redefining or more clearly defining all of the things we need to do. 25 0081 1 MS. PERREIRA: Yeah. BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: And full on facing 3 the fact that the parking lot project took a whole lot 4 of energy and time, but perhaps it's not something that 5 we can follow up on right now until the law changes. 6 MS. PERREIRA: Yeah. 7 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Well, I don't agree 8 that the law needs to be changed. 9 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Maybe not. 10 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: We had one opinion 11 from the previous Corporation Counsel. I was not in agreement with that. 12 13 MS. PERREIRA: That's right. That's right. 14 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: But according to our 15 present Corporation Counsel, public structure includes a 16 public parking facility owned by the County. So, it's a 17 different, 180-degree opinion, which I fully support. 18 So, I don't believe the law needs to be 19 changed. That we have the authority to do it. We have 20 the prestige. We are part of the -- to do it. To have 21 a nonprofit organization that's not connected to try to 22 do this on their own would be very difficult. 23 MS. PERREIRA: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I agree with you, the authority for a parking structure that would be

to take this on. I believe we have the authority.

BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: I think the MRA has

24

25

3 municipality owned and used. But the community, through Council and through administration, is clearly saying 5 today they want to see something mixed use. They want 6 to see -- they want to see commercial and retail. 7 And that does not fit into our function. 8 Perhaps maybe some -- they could subdivide, and there 9 could be one area that's just municipal parking. 10 MS. PERREIRA: Excuse me. I need to make 11 one clarification. When I stated the position from the 12 HRS 53-13 --13 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yes. 14 MS. PERREIRA: -- I was reading from what 15 was Corporation Counsel's, directly their comments. As 16 Commissioner Ron Kawahara stated, we do not necessarily 17 agree with that interpretation. 18 However, I was reporting on a discussion. 19 If you gave credence to what was formerly told to this 20 agency by Corporation Counsel that you couldn't do this, 21 then you would certainly have to amend the law. 22 And in conclusion, just in response to 23 Commissioner Alexa, this agency has done far vastly more 24 than focus on the municipal parking lot. They have 25 done, and it's clear, a lot of different things for 0083 1 Wailuku. 2 What has not moved quickly enough, because 3 it's the 900-pound gorilla that everybody has a -- every time you almost get it over the line, you got somebody 5 that pulls it back, the minority, the vocal minority. 6 What we have not done is focus enough on getting the 7 municipal parking facility, multi-use facility built. 8 And I think that Mr. -- Commissioner 9 Kawahara is exactly right. That we need to move it 10 forward. It's something that the agency can do. But I 11 do -- the only thing, the whole message was please have 12 this discuss with the Council as well, so all of the 13 stakeholders are in one room, and we don't have all this 14 different messages all over the place. Thank you. 15 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: And also, Alexa, 16 with respect to your comment about what our priorities, 17 Wayne prepared on March -- I don't know if you got a 18 copy of it. 19 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I do. BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: All of the projects 20 21 that MRA is faced with and the status. So, I think it's 22 a pretty comprehensive plan. We can always add to it, 23 but I don't know that we are going to take anything out. BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: And I think we need 24 25 to get started on those things. We need to check off 0084 1 accomplishments, and I think we need to, in today's 2 climate, pick our fights carefully. And although the municipal parking lot is 3 4 such an important part of the development of Wailuku, we 5 have a lot of other important tasks. So, it's my single personal goal that we can accomplish a whole bunch of

them and not spend too much time encumbered by the lack

8 of movement on the municipal parking lot.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

0086 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

I do agree that we need to engage Council members and administration further. I do agree that we need more than a verbal Corp Counsel -- what do you call it? More than a verbal --

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Decision.

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Decision as to what we can build and what we can't build, and maybe that's what we should do.

Write a letter saying we would like, in writing, a definitive answer to whether or not it is within our purview to build for the County a mixed use structure that includes a municipal parking lot.

And if not, and we know that all we can do is a municipal parking lot, then start focusing on, perhaps, some things that will work, where some other part of it might being mixed use.

In other words, we could divide the County

0085 1 2

space. And under our, you know, abilities and our rights, we can go ahead and build a municipal parking lot. Let the County build a mixed use structure next to it through their own agencies or their own partnerships.

But I just don't want to see us dragged down year after year with this -- this big elephant of a parking structure at the loss of us getting other things done. And if it's so difficult to even just get a website up to -- to get our mission out, I'm getting a little worried here. So --

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I'm wondering if as far as if the MRA does something, if it means to have that go on a little faster track would be if we had a two member investigatory committee that would have authority to discuss, talk with the member, figure out what it is the MRA can do, should do, might consider doing, report back, on a regular basis, and then it would always be on the agenda until more happened, one way or another.

And then that two member investigatory committee, I would expect, would have the, you know, authorization to talk with Council members, to get their input, and to represent themselves as we are a two member investigatory committee of the Maui Redevelopment Agency that's wanting to figure out what the role of the

MRA is going to be, and also what the feelings of the County Council members might be as far as what the MRA's role ought to be, what the County Council members' opinions are regarding the parking structure, if they are more inclined for multi-use, to talk with the Mayor under that.

I think the Mayor and some of the Council members are hesitant to talk with members as a -- you know, just as the MRA. But if they are in the realm of an investigatory committee to report back, not as a decision making, but to investigate, that that might be a way we could keep moving things forward.

13 Because then the investigatory committee 14 would come back to the MRA, and there would be the due 15 process notice and hearing on the agenda. And then we 16 could maybe get more done by breaking it down into an 17 investigatory committee of limited to two people. 18 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I agree with that a 19 hundred percent. I think if we are going to keep it on 2.0 our agenda, it needs to be moving forward, not just on 21 the agenda every month and we just talk about it every 22 month. 23 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right. 24 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: So, if Corp Counsel 25 thinks that that's doable, I would want to -- I would 0087 1 like to see every member of this commission, at one time 2 or another, be a part of that ability to go talk story 3 and investigate. 4 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right, but we are 5 limited to only two on a committee at a time. 6 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Right, but can it be 7 any two at a time, or do they have to be specifically 8 assigned? 9 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: How would you know when any two was assigned? 10 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Well, we would 11 12 assign, you know, like this month Ron and I would do it. 13 At the next meeting, we'll say, well --DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: That's kind of 14 15 hard. I would suggest you make it for a year. That's 16 my suggestion. 17 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Well, we have so 18 many absent members. What if an absent member was on 19 for a long time? If it could be any two, and we decide 20 each month --21 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: No, I don't 22 think you can make it any two and you would decide each 23 month, because then you would have a violation of open 24 meeting law, and there would be a problem. 25 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Can we change it 0088 1 each month? 2 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: You could, 3 but --4 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: No, I mean not legally what we can do. I just think as far as cohesion and 5 6 making it really happen, I would say we should appoint a 7 two member committee. 8 And not have it be ad hoc and switch out 9 every month, but have it be two members with the 10 enthusiasm and the vision to try and get as much done in 11 between meetings as they can, by investigating and then 12 coming back, and reporting, and making recommendations, 13 and letting it be open for discussion. 14 And then -- and then resolutions and motions 15 that the MRA is taking positions and doing something. 16 And that I see as a means to maybe move this forward, 17 given the entity that we are working with.

```
18
                 MR. KIMURA: Mr. Chair.
19
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: We are a three
20
     member Commission.
21
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right.
22
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: That would be
23
     quorum.
24
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: No, if we had two on
2.5
     the committee. No, she's saying right now we have three
0089
 1
    here today.
 2
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Right. And a fourth
 3
     member has been unable to attend for --
 4
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Five months.
 5
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: -- five months.
 6
                 MS. PERREIRA: She's back.
 7
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: We are still waiting
 8
     to fill the position of a fifth member. So, I think
 9
     that's an extremely huge responsibility to put on two
10
     for life sort of.
11
                 That's the only reason I suggest whether we
12
     could, from time to time, change the members of that
13
     parking structure committee, so that every commissioner
14
     has an opportunity to get a taste, feel of pushing this
15
     project forward.
16
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right, right.
                                                      I think
17
     legally we could. I think --
18
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Okay.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: -- my understanding of
19
2.0
     the hesitation of Corp Counsel is that if it's switched
21
     out too often, then you are really just playing games
22
     with the Sunshine Law, because you are actually having
23
     meetings of more than two people. Do you know what I am
24
     saying?
25
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: No.
0090
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Like if you switch out
 1
 2
     who's on the committee so regularly, then really you are
 3
     having everyone participate, off the record, with trying
 4
     to, quote, unquote, investigate, when really they might
 5
     be making decisions and not just coming back and
 6
     reporting with suggestions. So --
 7
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Well, another way to
 8
     look at it is that we have hired staff, and if it could
 9
     be staff with a member.
10
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, like if we had a
11
     staff member whose job was to investigate or do these
12
     things, and they come back and report to the MRA, that
13
     would be great, I think.
14
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I'm all for hands on
15
     here. I agree with you, but I think it should be, you
16
     know, spread across the board, and this is a big issue.
17
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Uh-huh. So, Manager
18
     Steel, we have staff budget money left over, because I
19
     know we had a clerk position. Are we allowed to use
20
     that money to try and hire somebody or direct the
21
     manager to try and do what we are talking about?
22
                 MR. STEEL: There's a number of questions
```

23 there. As far as asking me to do specific things to 24 relate with Council, it's certainly well within your 25 power to do that.

0091 1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

22

23

24 25

0092 1

> 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as trying to use money to purchase a person, either as a County employee or as a contract employee, I think that's going to be a very difficult sell, in that the Mayor has already taken out people of our budget and has asked me not to hire a secretary until she, you know, has this year to really look at where the MRA is going.

And for me to come back and say, well, can we hire somebody to talk to Council members I think will be a very difficult sell.

> CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Then --MR. STEEL: And unlikely.

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I guess the question then for you would be if the members wanted you to do it as manager, are you up to the task, or do you see your role more as just organizing the agendas and making certain that we have meetings, as opposed to taking specific directions and then trying to spearhead that?

MR. STEEL: Well, I have made it my job to go talk to Council members if there's specific issues that you folks want to have discussed beyond where we are now.

You have to remember the position I'm in with the Mayor is don't go to Council unless you have a plan, specifically, unrelated to the parking structure.

So, that's kind of a mandate from the person who pays

At the same time, I'm under the approval of you folks for the direction of my job. And where there's a conflict, we have real problems. And so I guess as an overview, I would say, yes, go ahead. I have time that I can do things, and it's not only just preparing these meetings, but I have a number of projects which I will be telling you about that I'm doing.

But, yes, I think that you are well within your power to ask me to do other things, and I can tell you if I can do them or not, or if there's a conflict, but they have to be very specific.

BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: I think it's a classic Catch-22, right? The Mayor doesn't want us to go to the Council without a plan, but she won't give us money to develop the plan.

But I don't know that in every circumstance the manager or the executive director is the right person to appear before government officials, simply because it's expected of them. You know, the psychology of it, if it's lay people or people that are directly interested have a greater impact.

But I think we can defer the discussion in 0093

lieu of the time, because we are not going to -- we have

```
got to develop the plan to meet with the Council people
 3
     and administration to get to buy into this thing, so
 4
     when the budget process comes up, it's not fresh. It's
 5
     at the top of everybody's mind. It's not just one
 6
    meeting. It's multiple meetings with the same
 7
     individual by different commissioners.
 8
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Mr. Chair, are you
 9
     saying that in order for different commissioners to have
10
     these meetings, that we have to be considered an
11
     investigative team or a committee, or can we just have
12
     these meetings and bring back information?
13
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Well, my suggestion
14
     would be that it be with some authority, and my
15
     suggestion would be --
16
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Right.
17
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: -- that we do it as an
18
     investigative committee of two, then that's understood
19
     with, you know, what authority.
20
                 I think our problem is, is that by the time
21
     this -- unless this agency were meeting every day and
22
    had full-time staff, and we just don't -- we are
23
     volunteers, so it's not like we have the luxury to meet
24
     every day and try and figure something out.
25
                 So I -- you know, if we had the blood, and
0094
1
     the energy, and the life to get two members who would
     want to be on the committee to try and just keep pushing
     things forward and figuring out what's the next thing to
 3
 4
    be done. Because, you know, talking in these meetings,
 5
     nothing, other than making resolutions, and motions, and
 6
    hearing, and voting doesn't really get done.
 7
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Is two a legal
 8
     number? Like it can't be three?
 9
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: No, it can't.
10
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: It can't be one?
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: No more than two.
11
12
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Okay.
13
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: No more than two.
14
     That's by the Sunshine Law.
15
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Okay.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. Well, looks
16
     like we have a little excitement from the audience. We
17
18
     will open it up for public testimony.
19
                 MR. IVY: Are you --
20
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN:
                                       Yes.
2.1
                 MR. IVY: David Ivy.
22
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN:
                                      We need to have you
23
     sign up on our testifier list also.
24
                 MR. IVY: Okay. I just want to give my
25
     impression of this last hour's discussion. It seems
0095
 1
     like what everybody wants to do is figure out a
 2
     consensus.
 3
                 You want to figure out what the Mayor wants,
    what Council wants, what you guys want, what the
 5
     community wants, what Wailuku Main Street wants. And
     Wailuku Main Street is a great organization that's
```

```
7
     willing to spearhead a movement to bring people
8
     together.
9
                 Are they bound by any Sunshine Laws?
10
     don't think so. Can you guys come together with Wailuku
     Main Street Association? Can they invite all of you and
11
12
     can they invite Council? No.
13
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: No, not without it
14
    being a meeting.
15
                 MR. IVY: Okay. If it's a meeting, if it's
16
     an open public meeting, an open public forum, can all of
17
     these people come together and decide or not -- no, not
18
     decide. Can they come together and feel each other out
19
     and try to find a consensus?
20
                 It seems like some kind of consensus process
21
     where everyone comes together and expresses their ideas
22
     is important. Two of you going around to all different
23
    people and coming back and reporting could take months,
24
    years to come to some kind of consensus report.
25
                 You know, you are going to just be doing the
0096
1
     same thing, going around in circles. I just -- I just
 2
     don't know. I'm just trying to figure it out myself,
 3
    but is there some way for us to have an open public
     meeting about the parking structure, bring all the
 4
 5
    parties together, everyone discuss it and try to figure
 6
     out a consensus. Figure out where we agree, where we
 7
     disagree, where we can compromise.
 8
                 Does that make sense?
9
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Well, if I may
10
     comment, there already is a consensus.
11
                 MR. IVY: Yeah.
12
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: As Jocelyn points
13
          So, I don't think we want to revisit that issue.
14
                 MR. IVY: Okay.
15
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: We came to consensus
16
     on a specific design for the County.
                 MR. IVY: Right.
17
18
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: And that was fine up
19
     until the current administration.
20
                 MR. IVY: Okay.
21
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: So, the ball is
     thrown back in our court. The current administration is
22
     saying that what they want is not the PC-1 design.
23
                 MR. IVY: Right.
24
25
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: But a multi -- a,
0097
1
     quote, multi-use parking structure. I'm not exactly
 2
     sure what the definition of that is, weather the
 3
     multi-use is offices, or retail space, or a combination
 4
     or whatever. But, that's what it is.
 5
                MR. IVY: Okay.
 6
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: The MRA's position
 7
     is that in order to achieve that, we need to get funds
 8
     to design and to come up with a new consensus plan.
 9
                 MR. IVY: Right.
10
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Okay. So, we don't
11
    have those funds in the current budget or the budget
```

12 that was just approved. 13 So, we need to build -- I don't want to use 14 the term consensus, but we need to make this a 15 conscious, top-of-the-mind issue by the administration 16 and the Council when we go in for the budget request in 17 the next budget year. That's the point. 18 We already have consensus. The general 19 consensus is that, yes, we want the parking structure. 20 And up until the current administration, we did have 21 consensus on a specific design. Unfortunately, we can't 22 proceed with that specific design under the current 23 administration. 2.4 So, this is what we are talking about. 25 Alexa is pointing out is that we need to have 0098 commissioners, for want of a better term, lobbying the 1 2 Council people and the administration to make this 3 happen to get funds to start the design of a multi-use 4 parking structure. 5 MR. IVY: And in line with PC-1 or --6 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: Looks like PC-1 is 7 not going to fly at this point. I mean, everybody spent 8 hundreds of hours, if not thousands of hours coming to a 9 compromise on PC-1. All of the organizations, the 10 majority of the business owners compromised and agreed 11 that PC-1 was the design. 12 But that is not -- it does not appear that 13 that's going to be built, at least according to the 14 current administration. So, in that sense, we are kind 15 of starting over. 16 MR. IVY: Right. 17 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Also, David, there 18 have been many, many community meetings. 19 MR. IVY: Right. 20 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: With lots of 21 different representatives that have come together over 22 this parking structure issue. 23 The MRA, however, as an agency, we have to 24 operate under certain kinds of conditions and rules and 25 laws, and we have this really great guy here to make 0099 1 sure that we do that. 2 The consensus that has to happen is within 3 the MRA itself. The commissioners have to go out and 4 talk to everybody and come back, as he was saying, and 5 report. And then we have to come up with this new what 6 we think is going to represent the community and also be 7 lawfully within our purview. 8 MR. IVY: Right. 9 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: So, I wish we could do it that way. You know, raise your hand group and --10 11 MR. IVY: Everybody -- everybody in favor, 12 aye. 13 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Well, I tell you what 14 I wish. I wish that someone in the executive branch,

like the Mayor's office or the Mayor appoints somebody

to be in charge of this municipal parking lot structure,

15

17 and make it start to happen.

18 And that my concern is is that sometimes

19 people think that if anything is going to happen, it

people think that if anything is going to happen, it's going to be the MRA that does it. And we are such a cumbersome body, we ought to maybe get ourselves out of the way.

And we might be better serving the whole idea of getting something to happen if we had a resolution that says the MRA is in support of a parking

structure. The MRA supports the Mayor coming up with a plan to give to the Council proposing what they -- what the Mayor wants done with the parking structure.

And that's what we want. That we want some executive to take on the job and do it. That's -- and then when things aren't happening, it won't be, well, the MRA has been sitting on this for five, 10, how many years, but it will be the MRA has passed a resolution begging the Mayor to take this -- take charge of this and do what -- whoever the Mayor is to do it.

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Based on HR 53 point whatever that says we can't do anything other than a municipal parking lot.

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right. We have limited --

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Based on our inability to get funding.

CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right. So, if what the Mayor comes up with is, well, my plan is let's have the MRA take control of this and follow through with this plan, that this design go through, you know, then -- then we will.

But to sit back and say the MRA is going to come up with the funding, the ideas, the means, the resources, the plan, the structure, the architects,

pictures, that I think we have been trying to do that, and we haven't gotten anywhere.

And I think that if we just said MRA has done its job. We've had public testimony. We've had public hearings. And what we have come up with is that someone take charge, and the most likely place, I say, to look to take charge would be the executive branch, you know, the Mayor's office.

You know, MRA moves, resolves that the municipal parking structure is a number one priority, and the MRA strongly requests the Mayor's office to do something about it. Come up with a plan, go to Council, push it, and look to the MRA as being an assistant to whatever the executive wants.

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: In however we can help.

17 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: In however we can 18 help, yeah.

BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Under our laws.

MR. IVY: I haven't been in here for a

MR. IVY: I haven't been in here for a while, but I am not really quite clear on what happened

```
22
     to the RFP? What happened to the proposals that you got
23
     from the private sector?
24
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Well, they were
25
     considered, but they didn't --
0102
1
                 MR. IVY: They didn't meet the criteria?
                BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: That actually was an
 2
     effort by the administration.
 3
 4
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, right, the
 5
     former administration to do something.
 6
                BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: The former Mayor in
 7
     an attempt to try and move the project forward put out
 8
     the RFPs through the Office of Economic Development, and
 9
     maybe someone more knowledgeable than me can tell you
10
     why it didn't fly, but it didn't fly.
                 MR. IVY: You guys didn't make those
11
12
     decisions though. Someone --
13
                MR. STEEL: Both of the projects included
14
     selling -- giving the property to --
15
                BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Council, yeah.
16
                 MR. STEEL: -- somebody else, and that's why
17
     it didn't fly.
                 MR. IVY: And Council didn't want to --
18
19
                 MR. STEEL: They didn't want to divest
2.0
     themselves of the property.
                MR. IVY: Isn't the MRA able to take
2.1
    property from the County?
22
23
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I don't think the
24
     County would let us take their property. I don't think
25
     we do have that kind of power.
0103
1
                 MR. IVY: I actually think you do.
 2
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I think we can condemn
 3
    private property.
 4
                MR. IVY: No, I think you can condemn public
 5
     property for purposes of urban renewal.
 6
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: I'd have to reread it.
                MR. STEEL: You can only do it with
 7
8
     Council's approval.
9
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, you also have to
10
     have money. I mean, you can't condemn without paying
     just compensation for --
11
12
                 MR. IVY: No, I'm not saying that's what you
13
     should do. I'm saying that I believe that you do have
14
     the power to do that though.
15
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: And I believe the
16
     County can deed the property to the MRA. This was
17
     something that was discussed last year.
18
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right. And I think
19
     the MRA is willing to take whatever role we legally can.
20
     I just don't know that we are the entity to spearhead
21
     action. We are more of a due process body than an
22
     action body.
2.3
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: The law said that if
2.4
     we do take property, we can't keep it forever. It will
2.5
     then belong to whoever --
```

1 MR. IVY: Right. 2 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: -- developed it. 3 Wasn't that the consensus? So, I think this issue came 4 up with the Iao Theater. 5 MR. IVY: I think in reading Chapter 53 just 6 the morning, I kind of went through the whole thing. 7 looks to me like you guys can take property, and put out 8 RFPs, and decide on someone in the private sector or the 9 public sector to take over the project and own it. Real 10 Estate Housing Agency or --11 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Commenting back to 12 that, I appreciate the idea, but I think when you read 13 Chapter 53, it's best to keep in mind that it was 14 created when there was lots of Federal money available. 15 MR. IVY: Right. 16 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: And the reason it was 17 created was because the Federal law was requiring that 18 there be entities like the MRA where that money could be 19 funneled through. And now there's not the Federal 20 money. So, while we might have the authority, you can't 21 really do something unless you have the money. 22 MR. IVY: Exactly, or you can ally with 23 private sector -- private and public sector money and 24 form partnerships to get things done, which was kind of 25 what they were trying to do with the RFPs on the parking 0105 1 structure with the last administration. Would it be possible to revive that or to 2 3 find out if it could be revived, the idea of a 4 public/private partnership? 5 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: If this -- if this 6 Commission is going to designate a committee of two to 7 investigate, I suspect that will be one of things that 8 we will look into. 9 MR. IVY: Thank you for your time. 10 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Thank you. Any other 11 public testimony? 12 MS. PERREIRA: Thank you. Oh, Jocelyn 13 Perreira. Before we start getting facts not correct and 14 people in high places read the things that is not the 15 case, it's important to understand that the attempt by 16 the past administration at trying to float requests for 17 proposals to the private sector was their own 18 initiative. It had nothing to do with the Council. 19 The Council had nothing to say about the 2.0 requests for proposals. And the MRA developed a Power 21 Point presentation to take down to the Council to let 22 them know what your progress and all the hard work you 23 were doing, and that never happened. 24 So, the Council never had a discussion about 25 what direction you should go, or there was no 0106 1 collaboration. So, I want to make that very clear. 2 every time we bring in the private sector RFPs, it is as 3 though it had validity of sorts. 4 It wasn't something that the community had -- had talked about that we really got to go to the

private sector, because as far as we were concerned, if they wanted to try to attempt to go and do a private sector RFP, that was fine. But we never veered off the fact that the Council -- it's a County-owned property, and the County had a responsibility to the people that they displaced from that property. So, it's a multi-faceted issue.

Okay. Now, on this investigative committees, I just want to express caution, because this has been attempted before with some commissioners, and they have come back with their version of their discussion with this person or this person, and it's not frankly a very good idea.

The best idea that I think we would support is to go and ask the Council to have a meeting in their chambers, dust off -- I know Wayne is in a predicament, because he gets paid by the Mayor, and he's pushed this way and that way.

But the decision of the MRA was to take that 25 Power Point presentation, which is your work, which 0107

demonstrated that there was a lot of work put into investigating, researching, and whatnot, and not by a few individuals, but a whole lot of people. And let them enter into the discussion. Let them enter into the plan, so it is a collaborative plan.

It's not our plan, your plan, their plan, whatever. It is a collaborative plan, so that everybody can buy into the plan, because they gave the input that made the plan be developed.

And as Ron has said, Commissioner Kawahara, then you are in the best position, the strongest position to go back for the next fiscal year to ask them for the money to prepare a revised plan, complete with parameters of what they expect to have on that plan, costs, et cetera, et cetera.

So, I would have to say we would express very strong concern about commissioners in just by two-by-two going to have independent discussions. It's at the exclusion of, first of all, your MRA manager, which I think is very vital. He's the vital link between all of the members, whether you are here or not.

Also, just because a commissioner is not here or not yet appointed, it doesn't mean that they are precluded from being appointed to be on a research team. But in this -- and I don't mean that you cannot have

investigative committees for other things. You did very well in doing that.

But I think for this particular issue, it's such a hot issue, and people are so concerned about who says what, and -- you know, I mean, we actually had -- may I remind you -- a commissioner float a proposal for a municipal parking structure privately and put the MRA's name on it.

So, that's why I have to urge caution. Thank you for this opportunity to offer testimony on

11 this. 12 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Other public 13 testimony? 14 MR. KIMURA: Mr. Chair, I am, if I may boldly say, I am -- not tired, tired of the subject 15 16 matter, because simply put, if I may be given the 17 permission -- Robert Kimura. Sorry. 18 Yeah, I can see this clearly, because I have been there. Okay. And I am not the kind of person that 19 20 would like to be called -- I'm the kind of person that 21 wants to be called Mr. Anonymous, because I have a boss, 22 a boss that you can't beat. 23 I have had many bosses while working for the 24 County and the State, yeah. But I don't want to go into 25 that, but I will cut it short and be practical about it. 0109 1 I take myself back to common sense. The 2 question is, just the other day I came out with the 3 request and the subject matter of identity, yeah. We 4 have not proved -- not we. I don't belong to you 5 people. And that's why, to be blunt about it, I hate to say it, but that's why I don't want to be a member of a 6 7 commission or commissioner, yeah. And I'm telling you the fact of life, yeah, 8 9 As old as I am. I am 83 years of age, and if I 10 don't have really a bucket full of practical experiences 11 to transmit and pass on to you young ones, I'm not worth 12 anything at all. I ask my main boss, does he still need 13 me? Yes, he needs me. 14 And then patients is one of the things that's required. Government built in the patience. 15 16 That's why the Sunshine Law is too much. Yes, there has 17 to be laws to restrict people. But how many people, 18 yeah, for all of us? The good people suffer on account 19 of the stringent choking of the law. 20 Now, what if you go to the executive 21 community law, so to speak. You can have anytime you 22 want to -- even after this meeting, you can have an 23 executive session or meeting. Leaving your 24 commissioners together and out the public goes. 25 Okay. You can plan your next meeting 0110 accordingly. You don't have to sign up, reserve, and 1 2 stuff like that, you see. 3 In other words, I am here to give you an 4 idea to help, because I sympathize with you people, you 5 know, for patiently trying to find the answer to it. 6 Now, my next question is this. Again, I 7 have lost sleep on the cause of you people. For you 8 people, not for me. 9 MR. STEEL: Thirty seconds, Robert. 10 MR. KIMURA: Thirty? 11 MR. STEEL: I have lost sleep, too. 12 MR. KIMURA: Okay. All right. I will round 13 it off. Yeah. I will round it off in the sense that 14 please find out in 1949 the law that Chapter 53 was create. It's a State law, and it doesn't say who the 15

```
16
    MRA belongs to. See?
17
                 It's a voluntary situation. The way that
18
     the County Charter system or the County administrative
19
     and the Council members, yeah, all together, yeah, can
20
     decide not -- not to go the MRA way.
21
                Now, if anyone here can tell me what the
2.2
     true intent of the law has been, number one, true intent
2.3
     of the law. There must have been a reason. Okay. And
24
     the thing about it is --
25
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Robert, Robert,
0111
1
     that's three minutes. Ten seconds to wind down.
 2
                 MR. KIMURA: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm
 3
     surprised that you are not any examples that Oahu has
 4
     used. I know they are using their renewal part of
 5
     Chapter 53.
 6
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Thank you. Thank you.
 7
     That's the 10 seconds. Thank you.
8
                MR. KIMURA: May I have --
9
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: No, that's all the
10
     time.
          Sorry.
11
                 MR. KIMURA: In other words, you want me to
12
     finish up within the next 10 seconds.
13
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN:
                                      That was the 10
14
     seconds. Thank you. Thank you.
15
                MR. KIMURA: May I have time to apologize?
16
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: No. Thank you.
17
                 MR. KIMURA: I will shut up then.
18
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Thank you.
19
                 MR. KIMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Because
20
     I think you people are not man enough, yeah, to take
21
     what is the true -- truth is. I feel sorry.
22
                 MS. PERREIRA: Robert, Robert, don't do
23
     that.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Thank you, Robert.
24
25
                 Any other public testimony? Any other
0112
     discussion by members?
1
 2
                 Having heard all that we have heard, my
 3
     strong opinion is that what the municipal parking
     structure needs is some vision somewhere, and my
 5
     experience in life is vision usually is like one person
    has one set of eyes, and they visualize something, and
 6
 7
     they try and make it happen.
 8
                 And then there could be consensus or
9
     modification, because it's going to take a consensus,
10
    but nothing starts to happen until at least one person
11
    has a vision and then moves on that vision.
12
                 And that's why I was proposing that we kick
13
     the ball to someplace somewhere that -- on the hands of
     one person that has the vision, be it the Mayor. Other
14
15
     possibility would be if the MRA had a manager with the
16
     vision given to it by the MRA that really pushed things
17
     through in that direction. That might be another
18
    possibility.
19
                 It could be a member of the County Council,
20
     you know, who really had the vision and had an idea and
```

```
21
    kept pushing and pushing, and then opened it up to
22
     consensus. But what's -- what's missing is that person
23
     with the vision to keep it going and then build the
24
     consensus. That is what I think.
25
                 And, you know, one thing we can do is we can
0113
1
     go into an executive session and talk about our
 2.
    personnel, which is the manager. And if -- and then
 3
     decide whether the manager has the vision that the MRA
 4
 5
                 And if not, then the MRA might want to
 6
     consider getting a manager that has the vision of the
 7
     MRA or have a resolution of the MRA to kick it to the
    Mayor and say, Mayor, get the vision. We want you to
9
     get the vision or whomever.
10
                 But someone needs to get the vision, and
11
     then consensus happens or things get changed and work
12
     from there. But I think after seeing this for this
13
     long, committees don't have visions.
14
                BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I agree, Chair, to
15
    having -- to going into executive session regarding that
16
     issue, if you choose at the end of our agenda or at your
17
     choosing.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. Are we going to
18
    go till 4:00 o'clock today, or what's the court
19
20
     reporter's limit? Sometimes that -- do you have to pick
21
     anyone up at the airport?
                 THE REPORTER: 5:00 o'clock.
22
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: I am not going
2.3
24
     to be here. I am going to leave.
25
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: At?
0114
1
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Pretty soon. I
 2
    have graduation, my son's first graduation.
 3
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay.
 4
                 MS. PERREIRA: You may defer our item.
 5
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: It's cutting it
 6
     close.
 7
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay.
                                              Is there
 8
     anything else on the agenda that's critical at this
 9
     time?
10
                 MS. PERREIRA: Mr. Chair, you may defer our
11
     item, G.
12
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. Well, unless I
    have an objection from a member, I suggest we go into
13
14
     executive session. And then if we have more time left,
15
     then we can pick up the rest of the agenda.
16
                 And if not, we will carry over the items on
17
     the agenda not dealt with until the next meeting. And
18
     then before we go into executive session, at the very
19
     least, we should set the agenda for the next meeting.
20
                 And that would include any items on this
21
     month's agenda not covered, and then also on next
22
    month's agenda, I had a note for discussion regarding
23
     and possible resolution regarding parking time limits at
2.4
     the County parking lot as it currently exists.
2.5
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I'd like to add to
```

```
0115
1
     the agenda for next meeting.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yes.
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I don't know, maybe
 3
 4
     this is something that has to be in executive session.
 5
     Our options for replacing absent board members, or I
 6
     think it's important that we get up to full speed.
 7
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right.
8
                 MR. STEEL: I have a report on that.
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Thank you.
9
10
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Also possibly a
11
     resolution regarding -- first I need the manager to give
12
     us some report about what our authority is. If we are
13
     limited to just making a resolution, or if we can
14
     actually set rules saying if a member misses, you know,
     four meetings in a calendar year, then they are --
15
16
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Yes.
17
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: -- to resign, that
18
     they are deemed -- I don't know if we have authority to
19
    kick somebody off, for example, if they don't attend.
20
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: I know the manager
21
     does have a report on that. Were you planning to give
22
     it today?
23
                 MR. STEEL: Well, I have information about
2.4
     it, yeah.
25
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: That would probably
0116
1
     just take a few minutes. Do you want to get that today?
 2
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: No.
 3
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. Next meeting
 4
     then.
 5
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Yeah.
 6
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay.
 7
                 MS. PALMER: Mr. Chairman, would you
 8
     consider public testimony before you go into executive
 9
     session?
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, we will take
10
11
     last public testimony before we do.
12
                 MS. PALMER: Okay.
13
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Any other agenda items
14
     for the next meeting at this point in time?
15
                 Okay. We will open it again for public
16
     testimony, then we will go into executive session.
17
                 MS. PALMER: Thank you, Darla Palmer. I was
18
     waiting for item G, but if we could do this under public
19
     testimony, it's again regarding the Wailuku Mini-Park
20
     design.
21
                 And really, I had a couple of questions. It
22
     says in the agenda that it's review of recommendations.
23
     So, if you have recommendations, I'd like to get a copy
24
25
                 And in the last meeting, one of the
0117
     directors asked if the person in the County that drew up
     the plans had any conversations with the theater at all,
 3
     and I think that that's really appropriate, being a
    neighboring property, and there is just no discussion.
```

```
So, you know, we have got some major issues
    with that design cutting off access. And if there is
 6
 7
     information, if you are making recommendations, it would
 8
    be great to get a copy and somehow get a dialog going
9
    with the County on the design.
10
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Thank you.
11
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Mr. Manager, we had
12
     a presentation did we not by the designers of the
13
    minipark.
14
                 MR. STEEL: Yes.
15
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: And we still have
16
     their information and the discussion at that meeting in
17
     our minutes.
18
                 MR. STEEL: Yes, the --
19
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Those are the --
20
                 MR. STEEL: The only thing that is in
21
    writing as far as recommendations came from Darla.
22
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Okay.
23
                 MR. STEEL: And this item was to decide on
24
     whether or not -- which of those, and if there were any
25
     other recommendations we wished to make to the Tanaka --
0118
 1
     the engineers who were putting together the design, if
 2
     we wanted to have them incorporate any of the
 3
     suggestions that we discussed at that meeting as well as
 4
    Darla's into that design. And that's what this agenda
 5
     item was for.
                 MS. PALMER: And I certainly don't mind if
 6
 7
    you table this to another meeting, but I also have
 8
     graduation to go to, and I don't want to miss your
 9
     discussion. So --
10
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: I think we should
11
     just simply direct Tanaka Engineers to initiate
12
     discussions with Iao Theater Group and see if a
13
     compromise can be worked out or plans amended. I mean,
     that's basically what's -- it's no point she complain to
14
15
    us, we recommend, they come back to us. You know, just
16
    have the --
17
                BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: You are so
18
     efficient.
19
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: That's a good idea
20
     though.
21
                 MS. PALMER: Way too practical.
22
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: And it's yet on the
23
     agenda for the next meeting.
2.4
                MS. PALMER: Okay. Great. I will see you
25
     then.
0119
1
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Thank you.
 2
                 MS. PALMER: Thank you.
 3
                 MR. IVY: One more.
 4
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. Short.
 5
                 MR. IVY: David Ivy. Just that the mission
 6
     of the MRA is going to be on the next agenda.
 7
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yes. And then a
 8
     question for Corp Counsel. When we go into executive
     session, the minutes, can they just be not -- I mean, do
```

```
10
     they have to be transcribed in word-for-word minutes, or
11
     do they just have to be a report-type minutes?
12
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Since this is
13
    being transcribed, I suggest you transcribe those
14
    minutes.
15
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay.
                DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: But you need to
16
17
    have a reason under Chapter 52 to go into executive
18
     session.
19
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Right. What was that
20
    number?
21
                 MR. STEEL: What are you looking for?
22
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Executive session.
23
                 MS. PERREIRA: May I make an announcement
24
     while you are looking for that to save time, because she
25
     can pick it up on record.
0120
 1
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: The exceptions to go
 2
     into executive session include, two, consider and
 3
     evaluate -- under Section 92-5(2), Hawaii Revise
     Statutes, to consider the hire, evaluation, dismissal,
 5
     or discipline of an officer or employee or of charges
 6
    brought against the officer or employee, where
 7
     consideration of matters affecting privacy will be
 8
     involved; provided that the individual concerned
 9
     requests an open meeting, an open meeting shall be held.
10
                 So, that is the one I think we were going to
11
     go under executive session for.
12
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: If that's what you
13
     think, Chair.
14
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: You need to
15
     move and also have a motion to do so.
16
                CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah, we need a
17
     two-thirds approval to go into session. And I'm
18
     inclined not to make a motion, if there's a motion to go
     into executive session.
19
20
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Could you read the
21
    rule behind it?
22
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Yeah. To -- well,
23
     it's to discuss the hiring, evaluation of an officer or
     employee, so that -- our only employee is the manager.
25
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: Does it include
0121
 1
     duties?
 2
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Well, I was saying
 3
     there is in the hire. I mean -- I mean, as far as an
 4
     employee -- at will employee, I would think that goes
 5
     into if the vision is the same, then there's not a
 6
    problem.
 7
                 If it's not the same, there needs to maybe
 8
    be discussion about that, so that goes in line with
 9
     duties, what we imagine the duties to be versus maybe
10
    what the employee's imagination of duties might be and a
11
    possible discussion with the employee about what that
12
     vision is.
13
                 BOARD MEMBER BASINGER: So move, Chair.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Is there a second?
14
```

```
15
                 BOARD MEMBER KAWAHARA: I will second.
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Any discussion? All
16
17
     in favor.
18
                 (Chorus of ayes.)
19
                 Okay. We will go in to executive session.
20
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: You have to
21
    leave.
2.2
                 MS. PERREIRA: I just want --
23
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: No, you have to
24
    leave.
25
                 MS. PERREIRA: Okay. I intended to leave.
0122
1
    Don't worry.
 2
                 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Thank you.
 3
                 (Regular meeting recessed 3:47 p.m. Resumed
 4
     4:53 p.m.)
 5
                 CHAIRPERSON POELMAN: Okay. No one is
 6
     there. The MRA meeting is also adjourned.
 7
                 (Adjourned 4:53 p.m.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
2.5
0123
1
                          CERTIFICATION
 2
                 I, KATHERINE EISMANN, CSR 439 in and for the
     State of Hawaii, do hereby certify:
 3
 4
                 That the proceedings were taken by me in
     machine shorthand and were thereafter reduced to print
    under my supervision by means of computer-assisted
     transcription; that the foregoing represents, to the
    best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the
 6
    proceedings had in the foregoing matter.
 7
                 I further certify that I am not an attorney
 8
     for any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
     in the outcome of the cause named in the caption.
9
10
                 Dated this 4th day of June 2007.
11
12
```

13 Katherine Eismann, CSR 439